SELF-CONSISTENT RENORMALIZATION AS AN EFFICIENT REALIZATION OF MAIN IDEAS OF THE BOGOLIUBOV-PARASIUK R-OPERATION

V.I. KUCHERYAVY

Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Nat. Acad. Sci. of Ukraine
(14b, Metrolohichna Str., Kyiv 03680, Ukraine; e-mail: vnkucher@bitp.kiev.ua)

UDC 539.12.01, 530.145

Il libro della natura é scritto in lingua matematica.
Galileo Galilei, [*Il Saggiatore, 1623*].

"...At the present time, the intimate connection between causality and the analytic continuation is revealed. So, it is not improbable to develop of a subtraction procedure even in the most general case by the use of analytic continuation techniques."
O.S. Parasiuk, [[7], *p.566, the last paragraph, 1956*].

This possibility is realized explicitly and efficiently in a body of our self-consistent renormalization (SCR). By the self-consistency is meant that all formal relations between UV-divergent Feynman amplitudes are automatically retained as well between their regular values obtained in the framework of the SCR. Self-consistent renormalization is efficiently applicable on equal grounds both to renormalizable and nonrenormalizable theories. SCR furnishes new means for the constructive treatment of new subjects: i) UV-divergence problems associated with symmetries, Ward identities, and quantum anomalies; ii) new relations between finite bare and finite physical parameters of quantum field theories. The aim of this report is briefly to review main ideas and properties of the SCR and clearly to describe three mutually complementary algorithms of the SCR that are presented in the form maximally suited for practical applications.

1. Introduction

The keystone idea on a purely mathematical genesis of the ultraviolet (UV) divergencies of the Feynman amplitudes (FAs) in quantum field theories is at the heart of the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk R-operation [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Using this idea along with related considerations of mathematicians of the 19th and 20th centuries,[1] the author has developed an universal, high-efficient, and self-consistent renormalization (SCR) technique which is applicable for any dimension $n = 2r_n + \delta_n, \delta_n = 0, 1,$ $r_n \in \{0 \cup \mathbb{N}_+\}$ of a space-time that is endowed by the pseudo-euclidean (p, q) metric $g^{\mu\nu}$, where $p+q = n$, and for an arbitrary topology of Feynman graphs.

Algorithmically, the SCR is an efficient realization of the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk R-operation as some special analytical extension of the UV-divergent FAs in two parameters ω^G and ν^G by means of recurrence, compatibility, and differential relations fixing a renormalization arbitrariness of the R-operation in some universal way based on the mathematical properties of FAs only. The parameters ω^G and ν^G are depended on a space-time di-

[1] It is appropriate to pointed out here that the first regularization recipe of infinities subtraction for turning divergent integral into convergent one had been used in Cauchy's *"extraordinary integral"* [9, 10, 11], as well as in d'Adhémar's [12, 13] and Hadamard's [14, 15, 16, 17] *"finite part of divergent integral"*. These recipes are similar but not identical. But in both cases it was extendeed the validity of the usual rules of change of variable, integration by parts, and differentiation with respect to the upper limit of integration to these new objects. The Cauchy's "extraordinary integral" has been used for an efficient analytic continuation of the $\Gamma(z)$-function to some noninteger real values $\mathrm{Re}\, z < 0$ firstly by himself Cauchy [10] in 1827, and then in the strips $(-n-1 < \mathrm{Re}\, z < -n)$ by Saalschütz [18, 19] in 1887-1888. The term "finite part of divergent integral" was introduced by d'Adhémar in his thesis presented at the Sorbonne in December 1903, and defended in April 1904, see [[20], p.477]. Referring to Hadamard's article [14], d'Adhémar [[13], p.371], writes "...Independently of each other, we understood the role of these *finite parts...*". In d'Adhémar's thesis and articles this notion was applied to the construction of solutions of equation for cylindrical waves [12, 13], whereas Hadamard use finite parts for the solution of the Cauchy problem for second order equations with variable coefficients [14, 15, 16] and an arbitrary number of independent variables [17]. On the applications of d'Adhemar's and Hadamard's "finite part of divergent integral" in greater detail see Hadamard's book [21]. After 40 years later, when analysing the connections between the intuitive and logical ways of mathematical inventions, Hadamard [22] wrote: "...All mathematicians must consider themselves as logics. For example, I have been asked by what kind of guessing I thought of the device of the "finite part of divergent integral", which I have used for the integration of partial differential equations. Certainly, considering in itself, it lookes typically like "thinking aside". But, in fact, for a long while my mind refused to conceive that idea until positively compelled to. I was led to it step by step as the mathematical reader will easily verify if he takes the trouble to consult my researches on the subject, especially my *Recherches sur les solution fondamentales et l'int'egration des 'equations lin'eaires aux d'eriv'ees partielles*, 2nd Memoir, especially p.121 and so on (*Annales Scientifiques de l''Ecole Normale Sup'erieure,* Vol.**XXII**, 1905) [16]. I could not avoid it any more than the prisoner in Poa's tale *The Pit and Pendulum* could avoid the hole at the center of his cell...", see [[22], p.110, and p.104 or p.86 in two identical Russian translations from French edition of 1959]. About further developments see M. Riesz [23, 24], F. Bureau [25], R. Courant [26], and S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, and O.I. Marichev [27].

mension n, a graph-topological invariant $|\mathcal{C}|$ determining a number of independent circuits of a graph G, and two FAs characteristics λ^G and d^G. The numbers λ^G and d^G determine the maximal degree of polynomials of the denominator and the numerator respectively in the integrand. As a result, the SCR is efficiently applicable on equal grounds both to renormalizable and nonrenormalizable theories that is very important for quantum gravity.

By the self-consistency is meant that all formal relations between UV-divergent FAs are automatically retained as well between their regular values obtained in the framework of the SCR. The SCR furnishes new means for constructive treatment of new subjects: i) UV-divergence problems associated with symmetries, Ward identities, reduction identities, and quantum anomalies; ii) new relations between *finite bare* and *finite physical* parameters of quantum field theories.

The aim of this report is briefly to review main ideas and properties of the SCR, see Sect.2-3, and clearly to describe three mutually complementary algorithms of the SCR, see Sect.3-5, which are presented in the form maximally suited for practical applications.

2. The bases and possibilities of the SCR

2.1 The SCR is an efficient realization of the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk R-operation [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] which is supplemented with *recurrence, compatibility, and differential* relations *fixing a renormalization arbitrariness of the R-operation* in some universal way based on *mathematical properties* of Feynman amplitudes (FAs) only. In its turn, the BP-approach is rested on an idea that the *nature of UV-divergences is purely mathematical* and, per se, the R-operation is a constructive form of the Hahn-Banach theorem on extensions of linear functionals, see for example [28, 29, 30].

2.2 Elaborating this idea the author [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45] has obtained the high-efficient realization of this renormscheme. In this realization:

• Properties of special functions of the hypergeometric type are used essentially.[2]

• Combinatoric is simplified considerably. Our investigations confirm the very important assertion by D.A.Slavnov [52] that combinatorics of the R-operation is overcomplicated considerably and can be simplified essentially.

• Renormalization arbitrariness of the R-operation is fixed in such a way that basic functions $(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} \equiv (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A)$ of renormalized FAs obey *the same recurrence relations* as basic functions $\mathcal{F}_{sj} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A)$ of convergent or dimensionally regularized FAs:

$$M_\epsilon\,\mathcal{F}_{s-2,j-1} - A\,\mathcal{F}_{s,j-1} + (\omega+j)\,\mathcal{F}_{sj} = 0,$$
$$M_\epsilon\,(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{s-2,j-1} - A\,(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{s,j-1} + (\omega+j)\,(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} = 0. \qquad (2.1)$$

The explicit form of $\mathcal{F}_{sj}$ and $(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}$ are given below by Eqs.(3.30)-(3.31). On the self-consistent version of the Clifford aspect of the dimensional regularization which efficiently overcomes the known difficulties connected with n-dimensional generalization of the Dirac γ^5 matrix see [53, 54].

• The *compatibility relations* of *the first kind*:

$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} = \mathcal{F}_{sj}, \quad \text{if } \nu_{sj} := [(\nu - s)/2] + j \le -1,$$
$$(R_0^{\nu+1} \mathcal{F})_{s+1,j} = (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}, \qquad (2.2)$$

and the *compatibility relations* of *the second kind*:

$$\mathcal{F}_{s-2,j-1}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A) = \mathcal{F}_{s,j-1}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A) = \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega - 1; M_\epsilon, A), \qquad (2.3)$$
$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{s-2,j-1}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A) = (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega - 1; M_\epsilon, A),$$
$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{s,j-1}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A) = (R_0^{\nu-2} \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega - 1; M_\epsilon, A),$$

are satisfied *automatically*. From the first one of Eqs.(2.2) it follows that formulae for the *regular values obtained in the framework of SCR describe uniformly both divergent and convergent* FAs.

• The *differential relations* for $\mathcal{F}_{sj}$ and $(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}$ with respect to *mass-damping variables* $\mu_l := (m_l^2 - i\epsilon_l)$, $l \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\mu_{l_1}\cdots\partial\mu_{l_m}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega) \\ (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^m \alpha_{l_1}\cdots\alpha_{l_m} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega - m) \\ (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega - m) \end{bmatrix} \qquad (2.4)$$

[2] The connection of particular FAs with the hypergeometric functions are well known. See, for example, investigations on analytic properties of convergent scalar FAs by using of algebraic topology methods [46, 47, 48], or calculations for needs of phenomenological physics some classes of FAs by using of differential equation method [49, 50, 51]. But in our case this connection is established for general divergent FAs in any spase-time dimension n and the (p,q) pseudo-euqlidean metric, $p+q=n$. Apart from, this connection suggests some simple method of fixing a renormalization arbitrariness of the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk R-operation in some universal way based on the mathematical properties of FAs only. As a result we obtain the self-consistent renormalization with new valuable properties and possibilities.

ISSN 2071-0186. Ukr. J. Phys. 2010. V. 55, N 5

are the same, and the *differential relations* for ones with respect to *external momenta* $k_e,\ e \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\partial^{\sigma_1}_{e_1} \cdots \partial^{\sigma_m}_{e_m} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega) \\ (R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = 2^m \sum_{\varkappa=0}^{[m/2]} \mathcal{A}^{\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m}_{e_1 \cdots e_m}(\varkappa) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega - m + \varkappa) \\ (R^{\nu-2m+2\varkappa}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega - m + \varkappa) \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.5}$$

are almost the same. Here $\partial^{\sigma_i}_{e_i} \equiv \partial/\partial(k_{e_i})_{\sigma_i}$, and $\mathcal{A}^{\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m}_{e_1 \cdots e_m}(\varkappa) \equiv \mathcal{A}^{\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m}_{e_1 \cdots e_m}(\varkappa|\alpha, k)$ are special homogeneous polynomials of degree $m - 2\varkappa$ in $A^{\sigma_i}_{e_i} \equiv A^{\sigma_i}_{e_i}(\alpha, k) := \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} A_{e_i e}(\alpha) k^{\sigma_i}_{e}$ and of degree $\varkappa$ in $\binom{\sigma_i \sigma_j}{e_i\, e_j} := A_{e_i e_j}(\alpha) g^{\sigma_i \sigma_j}$ where $A_{ee'}(\alpha)$ are matrix elements of the quadratic Kirchhoff form in external momenta $k_e,\ e \in \mathcal{E}$. The polynomials $\mathcal{A}^{\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m}_{e_1 \cdots e_m}(\varkappa|\alpha, k)$ have an algebraic structure of quantities generated by the Wick formula, which represents a T-product of m boson fields in terms of some set of N-products of $m-2\varkappa$ boson fields with $\varkappa$ primitive contractions. Here the quantities $A^{\sigma_i}_{e_i}$ and $\binom{\sigma_i \sigma_j}{e_i\, e_j}$ play the role of boson fields and their contractions respectively.

• It is essential that $\mathcal{F}_{sj}$ and $(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}$ as *functions of two variables* M_ϵ and A are the *homogeneous functions of the same degree* $\omega + j$. From this it follows that they are solutions to the same partial differential equations, namely to the *Euler equation* for homogeneous functions

$$\left[M_\epsilon \partial_{M_\epsilon} + A\partial_{A} - (\omega + j)\right] \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega) \\ (R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

and to some family of second order equations emerging from Eq.(2.6), for example

$$\left[M_\epsilon \partial^2_{M_\epsilon M_\epsilon} \pm (M_\epsilon \pm A)\partial^2_{M_\epsilon A} \pm A\partial^2_{AA} - \right.$$
$$\left. -(\omega + j - 1)(\partial_{M_\epsilon} \pm \partial_{A})\right] \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega) \\ (R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

that can be again represented as the Euler equation

$$\left[M_\epsilon \partial_{M_\epsilon} + A\partial_{A} - (\omega + j - 1)\right] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} (\partial_{M_\epsilon} \pm \partial_{A})\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega) \\ (\partial_{M_\epsilon} \pm \partial_{A})\,(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

So, an important role of the quantities $(\partial_{M_\epsilon} \pm \partial_{A})\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega)$ and $(\partial_{M_\epsilon} \pm \partial_{A})(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega)$ is revealed in our problem. After repeating this procedure $N + 1$ times one obtains

$$\left[M_\epsilon \partial_{M_\epsilon} + A\partial_{A} - (\omega + j - N - 1)\right] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} (\partial_{M_\epsilon} \pm \partial_{A})\mathcal{F}^{N\pm}_{sj}(\omega - N) \\ (\partial_{M_\epsilon} \pm \partial_{A})\,(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})^{N\pm}_{sj}(\omega - N) \end{bmatrix} = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

where we define $\mathcal{F}^{N\pm}_{sj}(\omega - N) := (\partial_{M_\epsilon} \pm \partial_{A})^{N}\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega)$ and $(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})^{N\pm}_{sj}(\omega - N) := (\partial_{M_\epsilon} \pm \partial_{A})^{N}(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega)$. If N such that $(\omega - N + j) \leq -1$ then both $(\partial_{M_\epsilon} + \partial_{A})\mathcal{F}^{N\pm}_{sj}(\omega - N) = 0$ and $(\partial_{M_\epsilon} + \partial_{A})(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})^{N\pm}_{sj}(\omega - N) = 0$. As a result, Eq.(2.9) with the *plus sign* is degenerated into the *identical zero* and with the *minus sign* is reduced to the *Euler–Poisson–Darboux equation*

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial M_\epsilon \partial A} + \frac{(\omega + j - N - 1)/2}{M_\epsilon - A}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial M_\epsilon} - \frac{\partial}{\partial A}\Big)\right] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}^{N\pm}_{sj}(\omega - N) \\ (R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})^{N\pm}_{sj}(\omega - N) \end{bmatrix} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Consistency of solutions to Eqs.(2.9)-(2.10) for different preassigned asymptotic of $(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}$ at the vicinity of $A = 0$ leads to relations

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{M_\epsilon}\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega) = -\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega - 1), \\ &\partial_{A}\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega) = \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega - 1), \\ &\partial_{M_\epsilon}(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega) = -(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega - 1), \\ &\partial_{A}(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega) = (R^{\nu-2}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega - 1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

that are also followed from the explicit form of the basic functions $\mathcal{F}_{sj}$ and $(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}$, see Eqs.(3.30)-(3.31) below.

2.3 Relations (2.1)-(2.11) manifest a mutual consistency of asymptotic properties of different terms of FAs with respect to external momenta and masses. It is precisely these *recurrence, compatibility, and differential relations* that are of great importance for investigating of *symmetries and anomalies problems* and for turning of developed renormscheme into *the self-consistent one*.

Besides, there exist some obvious identities of generic nature which are called as the *reduction identities* (RIs) [40, 41] that in another way lead to the recurrence relations (2.1). The simple idea of cancelling of equal factors in factorized polynomials in a numerator and a denominator of integrands is used in RIs. The reduction identities also are of great importance for applications as *an origin new nontrivial identities*. Some of them have been used essentially in our investigations [39, 40, 41, 43, 44, 45, 55, 56, 57, 58].

2.4 From Eqs.(2.1)-(2.11) and the explicit form of the basic functions $\mathcal{F}_{sj}$, $(R^{\nu}_{0}\mathcal{F})_{sj}$, see Eqs.(3.30)-(3.33) and (3.36)-(3.40), implies the following important properties of the SCR:

Algorithmic universality. The SCR is a special analytic continuation of any FA firstly given by an UV-divergent integral. In so doing divergence indices ν of FA's may be as large as one needs. Hereafter, this continuation will be named as the regular (i.e., finite) value

of this FA. As a result, the regular values of FA's respect certain recurrence, compatibility, and differential properties of an universal character and have already been realized efficiently as convergent integrals. Therefore, the calculation of FA's corresponding to renormalizable and nonrenormalizable theories does not differ for the two in the framework of this renormscheme. Actually, the problem is reduced to calculations of the characteristic numbers, ω, ν_{sj}, and λ_{sj} determining the basic functions $(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}$.

Separation of problems. The SCR clearly and efficiently separate the problem of evaluating regular values of UV-divergent quantities of quantum field theories from that of relations between bare and physical parameters of these theories, i.e., the SCR realizes in practice this the very important potential possibility of the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk R-operation.

Conservation of relations. Any formal relation between UV-divergent quantities will be retained also between regular values of those if the regular values of all quantities involved in this relation are calculated by *the same renormalization index* ν (the maximum one since, otherwise, we cannot guarantee the finiteness of all terms in the relation). So, the SCR is automatically consistent with the correspondence principle. As a result, the regular values obtained in the framefork of the SCR do satisfy the vector and axial-vector canonical Ward identities (CWIs) simultaneously.

Extraction of anomalies (quantum corrections). In the SCR, owing to the analytic continuation technique, quantum anomalies (i.e., quantum corrections (QCs) more exactly) are automatically accounted for in quantities satisfying the CWIs. More specifically, quantum anomalies (i.e., QCs) reveal themselves either as an oversubtraction effect for a non-chiral case and for the chiral limit case (in these cases the Schwinger terms contributions (STCs) of current commutators are zero) or as the nonzero STCs for the chiral case. If necessary, the explicit form of quantum anomalies (i.e., QCs) can be easily extracted as a difference between two regular values of the same UV-divergent quantity calculated for proper and improper divergence indices.

2.5 Algorithmically, the SCR is a union of three efficient algorithms of finding:

i) the convergent α-parametric integral representations of renormalized FAs with a compact domain of integration of the simplex type and with the self-consistent basic functions $(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}$, $s = 0, \ldots, d^G$, $j = 0, \ldots, [s/2]$;

ii) the homogeneous k-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m, \alpha, k)$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, [s/2]$, of degree $(s - 2j)$ in external momenta k_e, $e \in \mathcal{E}$, being as α-parametric images of homogeneous p-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m, p)$, $s = 0, \ldots, d^G$, of degree s in internal momenta p_l, $l \in \mathcal{L}$;

iii) the α-parametric functions $\Delta(\alpha)$, $A(\alpha, k)$, $Y_l(\alpha, k)$, $X_{ll'}(\alpha)$, $l, l' \in \mathcal{L}$.

3. Parametric integral representations and basic functions of FAs in the SCR

3.1 From the mathematical point of view any Feynman amplitude (FA) associated with an oriented graph G,

$$G := < \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{E} \mid e_{il} = 0, \pm 1, \; v_i \in \mathcal{V}, \; l \in \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{E} >,$$

in which $\mathcal{V}$ is a set of vertices; $\mathcal{L}$ is a set of internal lines; $\mathcal{E}$ is a set of external lines; and e_{il} is an incidence matrix (i.e., a vertex-line incidence matrix) such that: $e_{il} = 0$ if the line $l \in \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{E}$ is nonincident to the vertex $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$; $e_{il} = 1$ if the line $l \in \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{E}$ is outgoing from the vertex $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$; $e_{il} = -1$ if the line $l \in \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{E}$ is incoming to the vertex $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$, can be always represented by the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
&I^G(m,k)_\epsilon := c^G \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (d^n p)^{\mathcal{L}} \delta^G(p,k) \frac{\mathcal{P}^G(m,p)}{Q^G(m,p)_\epsilon},\\
&(d^n p)^{\mathcal{L}} := d^n p_1 \cdots d^n p_{|\mathcal{L}|}, \quad d^n p_l := \textstyle\prod_{\sigma=1}^n dp_l^\sigma,\\
&l \in \mathcal{L}, \quad m := (m_1, \ldots, m_{|\mathcal{L}|}), \qquad (3.1)\\
&p := (p_1, \ldots, p_{|\mathcal{L}|}), \quad k := (k_1, \ldots, k_{|\mathcal{E}|}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathcal{P}^G(m,p)$ and $Q^G(m,p)$ are a numerator and a denominator polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{P}^G(m,p) := \textstyle\prod_{v_i \in \mathcal{V}} P_i(m,p) \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}} P_l(m,p) =\\
&\qquad = \textstyle\sum_{s=0}^{d^G} \mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p), \qquad (3.2)\\
&Q^G(m,p)_\epsilon := \textstyle\prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}} (\mu_{l\epsilon} - p_l^2)^{\lambda_l},\\
&\mu_{l\epsilon} := m_l^2 - i\epsilon_l, \; m_l \ge 0, \; \epsilon_l > 0, \; \lambda_l \in \mathbb{N}_+, \; \forall l \in \mathcal{L},
\end{aligned}$$

$\delta^G(p,k)$ is a product of vertex δ-functions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta^G(p,k) := \textstyle\prod_{v_i \in \mathcal{V}} \delta_i(p,k),\\
&\delta_i(p,k) := \delta\big(\textstyle\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}} e_{il} p_l + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} e_{ie} k_e\big); \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

$|\mathcal{A}|$ is a number of elements of some finite set $\mathcal{A}$; $\mathbb{N}_+$ is the set positive integers; $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p)$, $s = 0, \ldots, d^G$, are s-degree homogeneous polynomials in internal momenta p_l, $l \in \mathcal{L}$; $P_i(m,p)$ and $P_l(m,p)$ are multiplicative generating polynomials of the numerator $\mathcal{P}^G(m,p)$ that are corresponded to the vertex v_i-contribution $V_i(m,p,k)$, and to the internal line l-contribution $\Delta_l(m,p)_\epsilon$, respec-

tively:

$$\begin{aligned}
&V_i(m,p,k) := P_i(m,p)\delta_i(p,k),\\
&deg_p P_i(m,p) =: d_i \geq 0, \quad \forall v_i \in \mathcal{V},\\
&\Delta_l(m,p)_\epsilon := \frac{P_l(m,p)}{(\mu_{l\epsilon} - p_l^2)^{\lambda_l}}, \qquad (3.4)\\
&deg_p P_l(m,p) =: d_l \geq 0, \quad \forall l \in \mathcal{L}.
\end{aligned}$$

The non-degenerate metric form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{diag} g^{\mu\nu} := (\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{p},\underbrace{-1,\ldots,-1}_{q}), \qquad (3.5)\\
&p+q = n = 2r_n + \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = 0,1, \quad r_n \in \{0 \cup \mathbb{N}_+\},
\end{aligned}$$

is used for each n-dimensional p_l-integration in Eq.(3.1).

3.2 Two characreristics

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu^G := 2\,\omega^G + d^G, \qquad \omega^G := (n/2)|\mathcal{C}| - \lambda_{\mathcal{L}},\\
&|\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{L}| - |\mathcal{V}| + 1, \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{L}} := \textstyle\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \lambda_l,\\
&d^G := d_{\mathcal{V}} + d_{\mathcal{L}} = \textstyle\sum_{v_i\in\mathcal{V}} d_i + \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} d_l, \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

of the integral (3.1) are especially important. Here $|\mathcal{C}|$ is a number of independent circuits of the graph G. There exist analogous characteristics for all one particle irreducible (1PI) subgraphs $\overline{G} \subset G$. If $\nu^G \geq 0$ or $\nu^{\overline{G}} \geq 0$ for some 1PI $\overline{G} \subset G$, the integral is UV-divergent and a renormalization is needed [28, 30].

While Eqs.(3.1)-(3.3) are identical to the well-known representation in terms of vertex-line contributions,

$$\delta^G(p,k)\frac{\mathcal{P}^G(m,p)}{Q^G(m,p)_\epsilon} = \prod_{v_i\in\mathcal{V}} V_i(m,p,k) \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \Delta_l(m,p)_\epsilon,$$

they are more suited for practical calculations. The universal decomposition of $\mathcal{P}^G(m,p)$ in terms of s-degree homogeneous p-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p)$ is very useful.

3.3 We shall use of the Fock–Schwinger exponential α-representation, see for example, [59, 60, 61], along with the Hepp regularization [30] that introduces parameters $r_l > 0$ in the vicinity of $\alpha_l = 0$, $\forall l \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(\mu_{l\epsilon} - p_l^2)^{\lambda_l}} = \lim_{r_l\to 0} \int_{r_l}^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha_l\,\alpha_l^{\lambda_l-1} i^{\lambda_l}}{\Gamma(\lambda_l)} e^{-i\alpha_l(\mu_{l\epsilon} - p_l^2)},\\
&p_l^\tau = (-i\partial/\partial q_{l\tau}) e^{i(p_l\cdot q_l)}|_{q_l=0}, \qquad (3.7)\\
&(p_l\cdot q_l) := p_{l\tau} q_{l\sigma} g^{\tau\sigma}, \quad 0 < r_l \leq \alpha_l \leq \infty, \ \forall l \in \mathcal{L}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the ratio of polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G(m,p)/Q^G(m,p)_\epsilon$ in Eqs.(3.1)-(3.2) can be represented in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathcal{P}^G(m,p)}{Q^G(m,p)_\epsilon} = \lim_{\substack{r_l\to 0\\ \forall l\in\mathcal{L}}} \Bigg\{ \int_{R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(\vec{r})} dv^G(\alpha)\, i^{\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}}\\
&\cdot \sum_{s=0}^{d^G} \mathcal{P}^G_s(m, -i\partial/\partial q_{\mathcal{L}})\, e^{-iM_\epsilon + iW_{p_{\mathcal{L}}}^{q_{\mathcal{L}}}} \Bigg\}\Bigg|_{\substack{q_l=0\\ \forall l\in\mathcal{L}}},\\
&W_{p_{\mathcal{L}}}^{q_{\mathcal{L}}} := (p_{\mathcal{L}}^T \cdot \alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} p_{\mathcal{L}}) + (p_{\mathcal{L}}^T \cdot q_{\mathcal{L}}) \qquad (3.8)\\
&= \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \alpha_l p_l^2 + \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} (p_l\cdot q_l), \quad [\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}]_{ll'} := \alpha_l\,\delta_{ll'}.
\end{aligned}$$

In Eq.(3.8) the $p_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{L}}$ are $(|\mathcal{L}|\times n)$-dimensional actual and auxilary internal momenta column-vectors associated with the set of internal lines, $\mathcal{L}$, of a graph G; the T is the transpose sign, so $p_{\mathcal{L}}^T$ is the row-vector; the $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}$ is the $|\mathcal{L}|$-dimensional diagonal matrix of α-parameters; the $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ is defined in Eq.(3.6). Here, the integration measure $dv^G(\alpha)$, the integration region $R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(\vec{r})$, and the α-parametric function $M_\epsilon \equiv M(m,\alpha)_\epsilon$ which is the linear form in the square of internal masses with $i\epsilon$-damping are defined as,

$$\begin{aligned}
&dv^G(\alpha) := \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \left(\frac{d\alpha_l\,\alpha_l^{\lambda_l-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda_l)} \right),\\
&R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(\vec{r}) := \{\alpha_l |\, 0 < r_l \leq \alpha_l \leq \infty, \ \forall l \in \mathcal{L}, \},\\
&M_\epsilon := \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \alpha_l \mu_{l\epsilon}, \quad \mu_{l\epsilon} := (m_l^2 - i\epsilon_l). \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, substituting of Eq.(3.8) into Eq.(3.1) and interchanging the order of integration in p_l and α_l, $\forall l \in \mathcal{L}$, we obtain the very useful representation of the regularized by Hepp integral $I^G(m,k)_\epsilon^{\vec{r}}$. The integrand of it is the $(|\mathcal{L}|\times n)$-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean Gaussian-like expression but in depended variables $p_l, \forall l \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&I^G(m,k)_\epsilon^{\vec{r}} := c^G \int_{R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(\vec{r})} dv^G(\alpha)\\
&\cdot \sum_{s=0}^{d^G} \mathcal{P}^G_s(m, -i\partial/\partial q_{\mathcal{L}}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (d^n p)^{\mathcal{L}} \delta^G(p_{\mathcal{L}}, k_\varepsilon)\, i^{\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}}\\
&\qquad \cdot\ e^{-iM_\epsilon + iW_{p_{\mathcal{L}}}^{q_{\mathcal{L}}}} \Big|_{\substack{q_l=0\\ \forall l\in\mathcal{L}}}. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

The set of internal lines, $\mathcal{L}$, can be always decompose (as a rule in more than one way) into two mutually disjoint subsets, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} = \emptyset$, which determine some *skeleton tree*, i.e., *1-tree* subgraph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{E})$, with $|\mathcal{M}| = |\mathcal{V}| - 1$, and corresponding to it *co-tree* subgraph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{E})$, with $|\mathcal{N}| = |\mathcal{L}| - |\mathcal{V}| + 1 = |\mathcal{C}|$ of the graph G. Supports of all $\delta_i(p_{\mathcal{L}}, k_\varepsilon)$-functions, $\forall v_i \in \mathcal{V}$,

see Eq.(3.3), are defined by Eqs.(3.11) and are equivalent to the matrix relations given in Eqs.(3.12) and Sec.5,

$$\textstyle\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} e_{il}p_l + \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} e_{ie}k_e = 0,\ \forall v_i \in \mathcal{V}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{M}}p_{\mathcal{M}} + e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{N}}p_{\mathcal{N}} + e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{E}}k_{\mathcal{E}} = 0_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}},\\
&e_{j\mathcal{M}}p_{\mathcal{M}} + e_{j\mathcal{N}}p_{\mathcal{N}} + e_{j\mathcal{E}}k_{\mathcal{E}} = 0,\ v_j - \text{the basis vertex},\\
&p_{\mathcal{L}} = e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}p_{\mathcal{N}} + e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j)k_{\mathcal{E}},\\
&p_{\mathcal{M}} = e_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}p_{\mathcal{N}} + e_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{E}}(j)k_{\mathcal{E}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Thus, $(|\mathcal{M}|\times n)$-dimensional integration by means of $\delta_i(p_{\mathcal{L}},k_{\mathcal{E}})$-functions, $\forall v_i \in \mathcal{V}/j$, (this is equivalent to make use of Eqs.(3.12)), gives rise to the following intermediate α-parametric representation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&I^G(m,k)^{\vec{r}}_{\epsilon} := \delta^G(k_{\mathcal{E}})c^G \int_{R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(\vec{r})} dv^G(\alpha)\\
&\cdot\sum_{s=0}^{d^G}\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,-i\partial/\partial q_{\mathcal{L}}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (d^np)^{\mathcal{N}}\, i^{\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}}\\
&\qquad\cdot\ e^{-iM_\epsilon + iW^{q_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\mathcal{N},\mathcal{E}}}\Big|_{\substack{q_l=0\\ \forall l\in\mathcal{L}}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&W^{q_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\mathcal{N},\mathcal{E}} := (p^T_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot C_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)p_{\mathcal{N}}) + 2(f^T_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot p_{\mathcal{N}})\\
&\qquad + (k^T_{\mathcal{E}}\cdot E_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}}) + (q^T_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j)k_{\mathcal{E}}),\\
&f_{\mathcal{N}} := \Pi^T_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}} + \tfrac{1}{2}e^T_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}q_{\mathcal{L}},\\
&\delta^G(k_{\mathcal{E}}) := \delta\big(\textstyle\sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} e(v^*)_e k_e\big).
\end{aligned}$$

The explicit forms and some properties of the matrices $e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}$, $e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j)$, $C_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)$, $E_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)$, and $\Pi_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}(j|\alpha)$ are given in Eqs.(5.1)-(5.4).

The change of variables $p_{\mathcal{N}}$ by means of the nondegenerate linear transformation such that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{\mathcal{N}} = B_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)\tilde{p}_{\mathcal{N}} - B_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)B^T_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)f_{\mathcal{N}},\\
&B^T_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)C_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)B_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha) = 1_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}},\\
&B_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)B^T_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha) = C^{-1}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha),\\
&\det B_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha) = [\det C_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)]^{-1/2} =: \Delta(\alpha)^{-1/2},\\
&(d^np)^{\mathcal{N}} = (d^n\tilde{p})^{\mathcal{N}}|\det B_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)|^{n}\,|\det g|^{|\mathcal{N}|}\\
&= (d^n\tilde{p})^{\mathcal{N}}/\Delta(\alpha)^{n/2},\ \det g = (-1)^q,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

to reduce Eqs.(3.13)-(3.14) to the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&I^G(m,k)^{\vec{r}}_{\epsilon} := \delta^G(k_{\mathcal{E}})c^G \int_{R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(\vec{r})} \frac{dv^G(\alpha)}{\Delta^{n/2}}\\
&\cdot\sum_{s=0}^{d^G}\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,-i\partial/\partial q_{\mathcal{L}}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (d^n\tilde{p})^{\mathcal{N}} e^{i(\tilde{p}^T_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\tilde{p}_{\mathcal{N}})}\, i^{\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}}\\
&\qquad\cdot\ e^{-iM_\epsilon + i\widetilde{W}^{q_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\mathcal{E}}}\Big|_{\substack{q_l=0\\ \forall l\in\mathcal{L}}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{W}^{q_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\mathcal{E}} := -(f^T_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot C^{-1}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)f_{\mathcal{N}}) +\\
&\qquad + (k^T_{\mathcal{E}}\cdot E_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}}) + (q^T_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j)k_{\mathcal{E}}) =\\
&\qquad = (k^T_{\mathcal{E}}\cdot A_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}}) +\\
&\qquad + (q^T_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}}) - \tfrac{1}{4}(q^T_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)q_{\mathcal{L}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the formula

$$\textstyle\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{\pm it^2} = \pi^{1/2}e^{\pm i\pi/4},$$

which is followed from [62], Ch. 1.5., eqs.(31-32), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^n\tilde{p}_l e^{i\tilde{p}_l^2} = \pi^{n/2}e^{i(p-q)\pi/4} = \pi^{n/2}i^{(p-n/2)},\\
&\textstyle\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (d^n\tilde{p})^{\mathcal{N}} e^{i(\tilde{p}^T_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\tilde{p}_{\mathcal{N}})} i^{\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}} = (\pi^{n/2}i^p)^{|\mathcal{N}|}i^{-\omega}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

So, all n-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean momenta integrations in the (p,q)-metric are performed. Thus, any FA (3.1) is led to the α-parametric representation in the fully-exponential form,

$$\begin{aligned}
&I^G(m,k)^{\vec{r}}_{\epsilon} := (2\pi)^n\delta^G(k_{\mathcal{E}})a^G \int_{R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(\vec{r})} \frac{dv^G(\alpha)}{\Delta^{n/2}}\\
&\cdot\sum_{s=0}^{d^G}\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,-i\partial/\partial q_{\mathcal{L}})\, e^{-iM_\epsilon + i\widetilde{W}^{q_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\mathcal{E}}}\Big|_{\substack{q_l=0\\ \forall l\in\mathcal{L}}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{W}^{q_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\mathcal{E}} := (k^T_{\mathcal{E}}\cdot A_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}}) +\\
&\qquad + (q^T_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}}) - \tfrac{1}{4}(q^T_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)q_{\mathcal{L}}),\\
&a^G := c^G(\pi^{n/2}i^p)^{|\mathcal{N}|}(2\pi)^{-n}i^{-\omega},\ |\mathcal{N}| = |\mathcal{C}|,
\end{aligned}$$

where n-dimensional auxilary momenta, $q_l, l\in\mathcal{L}$, still are used. The explicit form and important properties of matrices $A_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)$, $Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)$, and $X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)$ are given in Eqs.(5.5)-(5.13). Some properties of them are new.

Next, the following two operations must be carried out: i) to differentiate the exponential function $\exp\{i(q^T_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}}) - i/4\,(q^T_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)q_{\mathcal{L}})\}$ by means of the s-homogeneous differential polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,-i\partial/\partial q_{\mathcal{L}})$ in $-i\partial/\partial q_{l\sigma}$, $l\in\mathcal{L}$, $\sigma\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$, $1\le s\le d^G$; ii) to put $q_{l\sigma}=0, \forall l\in\mathcal{L}$, $\forall\sigma\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$, and $\forall s\in\{0,1,\ldots,d^G\}$. Finally, we obtain the important α-parametric represen-

tation for the general FA (3.1),

$$I^G(m,k)^{\vec{r}}_\epsilon := (2\pi)^n \delta^G(k_\varepsilon) b^G \int_{R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(\vec{r})} dv^G(\alpha)$$
$$\cdot \frac{1}{\Delta^{n/2}} \sum_{s=0}^{d^G} \sum_{j=0}^{[s/2]} \mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k) i^{-\omega-j} e^{-iM_\epsilon + iA}, \tag{3.18}$$
$$A \equiv A(\alpha,k) := (k^T_\varepsilon \cdot A_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}(j|\alpha) k_\varepsilon),$$
$$b^G := c^G(\pi^{n/2} i^p)^{|\mathcal{C}|}(2\pi)^{-n},\ |\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{N}|,$$
$$\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\rho\alpha,\tau k) = \rho^{-j}\tau^{s-2j}\, \mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k).$$

Here $[s/2]$ means the largest integer $\le s/2$, i.e., the integer part of the $s/2$; the quadratic Kirhchhoff form $A(\alpha,k)$ in external momenta k_e, $e \in \mathcal{E}$, and the Kirchhoff determinant $\Delta(\alpha) := \det C_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)$ are defined by the topological structure of a graph G and are homogeneous functions of the first and $|\mathcal{C}|$th degrees in α, respectively, see Sec.5 more detail. The quantities $\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k)$ are homogeneous k-polynomials in external momenta k_e, $e \in \mathcal{E}$, of the degree $s-2j$, $j = 0,1,\ldots,[s/2]$. They are α-parametric images of homogeneous polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p)$. Each monomial of $\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k)$ is a product of $s-2j$ linear Kirchhoff forms $Y_l(\alpha,k) := \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} Y_{le}(\alpha)k_e$ and j line-correlator functions $X_{ll'}(\alpha)$, $l,l' \in \mathcal{L}$, of a graph G. Parametric functions $Y_l(\alpha,k)$ and $X_{ll'}(\alpha)$ are homogeneous functions of the 0th and (-1)st degree in α, respectively. See Sec.4 and 5 more detail.

By introducing the new variables,

$$\alpha_l = \rho\alpha'_l,\ \ \forall l \in \mathcal{L}/j,\ \ \alpha_j = \rho\big(1 - \textstyle\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}/j}\alpha'_l\big),$$
$$\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \alpha'_l = 1,\ \ \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}} d\alpha_l = \rho^{|\mathcal{L}|-1} d\rho \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}/j} d\alpha'_l,$$
$$R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(\vec{r}) \to R^1_+(r) \times \Sigma^{|\mathcal{L}|-1},\ r > 0, \tag{3.19}$$

and assuming that $r_l = r > 0$, $\forall l \in \mathcal{L}$, we can perform the integration over the variable ρ, $0 < r \le \rho \le \infty$, by using [63], see Ch. 6.3., eq.(3) or Ch. 6.5., eq.(29),

$$I^G(m,k)^r_\epsilon := (2\pi)^n \delta^G(k_\varepsilon) b^G \int\limits_{\Sigma^{|\mathcal{L}|-1}} \frac{d\mu^G(\alpha)}{\Delta^{n/2}}$$
$$\cdot \sum_{s=0}^{d^G} \sum_{j=0}^{[s/2]} \mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k)\mathcal{F}^r_{sj}(\omega;V_\epsilon),$$
$$\mathcal{F}^r_{sj}(\omega;V_\epsilon) := i^{-\omega-j} \int\limits_r^\infty d\rho\, \rho^{-\omega-j-1} e^{-i\rho V_\epsilon}$$
$$= V_\epsilon{}^{\omega+j}\Gamma(-\omega-j; irV_\epsilon),\quad V_\epsilon := M_\epsilon - A, \tag{3.20}$$
$$\omega := (n/2)|\mathcal{C}| - \lambda_{\mathcal{L}},\quad b^G := c^G(\pi^{n/2}i^p)^{|\mathcal{C}|}(2\pi)^{-n}.$$

Here p involved in the b^G is the number of positive squares in a space-time metric $g^{\mu\nu}$. The integration measure $d\mu^G(\alpha)$ and the integration domain $\Sigma^{|\mathcal{L}|-1}$ of the simplex type are defined as

$$d\mu^G(\alpha) := \delta\big(1 - \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \alpha_l\big) \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \bigg(\frac{d\alpha_l\, \alpha_l^{\lambda_l - 1}}{\Gamma(\lambda_l)}\bigg),$$
$$\Sigma^{|\mathcal{L}|-1} := \{\alpha_l |\, \alpha_l \ge 0,\ \forall l \in \mathcal{L},\ \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \alpha_l = 1\}. \tag{3.21}$$

In Eq.(3.21) $\Gamma(\alpha;x)$ is one in a two incomplete gamma functions appearing in the decomposition, $\Gamma(\alpha) = \Gamma(\alpha;x) + \gamma(\alpha;x)$, see [64], Ch. 9.1., eqs.(1-2), such that at $\mathrm{Re}\,\alpha > 0$, $\Gamma(\alpha;0) = \Gamma(\alpha)$, $\gamma(\alpha;0) = 0$, where $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is an ordinary gamma function.

It is useful to remark that we actually have the regularization which combines three ones: i) the Hepp regularization [30], (due to the change in the region of integration over auxilary variable ρ); ii) the analytic regularization [80], (due to the complexification of the parameter $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$, half-degree of the denominator polynomial); iii) the dimensional regularization [53, 54], (due to the complexification of the parameter n, the space-time dimension). Recall, that $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ and n are constituents of ω.

For convergent FAs the quantities $\omega + j < 0$, $\forall j \in \{0,1,\ldots,[d^G/2]\}$ and there exists the limit $r \to 0$. After passing to the limit $r \to 0$ in Eq.(3.20) we obtain,

$$I^G(m,k)_\epsilon := (2\pi)^n \delta^G(k_\varepsilon) b^G \int\limits_{\Sigma^{|\mathcal{L}|-1}} \frac{d\mu^G(\alpha)}{\Delta^{n/2}}$$
$$\cdot \sum_{s=0}^{d^G} \sum_{j=0}^{[s/2]} \mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k)\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega;M_\epsilon,A),$$
$$\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega;M_\epsilon,A) := i^{-\omega-j} \int\limits_0^\infty d\rho\, \rho^{-\omega-j-1} e^{-i\rho\,(M_\epsilon - A)}$$
$$= M_\epsilon{}^{\omega+j}(1 - Z_\epsilon)^{\omega+j}\Gamma(-\omega-j) \tag{3.22}$$
$$= M_\epsilon{}^{\omega+j} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \Gamma(-\,\omega - j + k)\, \frac{Z^k_\epsilon}{k!},\quad Z_\epsilon := A/M_\epsilon.$$

It is easily verified that basic functions $\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega;M_\epsilon,A)$ satisfy Eqs.(2.1), (2.3), (2.11), and Eqs.(2.6)-(2.8).

In the case of divergent FAs for which $\omega + j \ge 0$ at least for one $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,[d^G/2]\}$, the limit $r \to 0$ does not exist. In this case, the expressions (3.6r)-(3.6s) strictly defined in the region $R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|}(r) := R^1_+(r) \times \Sigma^{|\mathcal{L}|-1}$ must be made meaningful in a wider region $R_+^{|\mathcal{L}|} := R^1_+ \times \Sigma^{|\mathcal{L}|-1}$, where $R^1_+ := R^1_+(r)|_{r=0}$.

The Bogoliubov-Parasiuk subtraction procedure applied for this purpose replaces $I^G(m,k)^r_\epsilon$ by

$$(R^\nu_0 I)^G(m,k)_\epsilon = (2\pi)^n \delta^G(k_\varepsilon) b^G \cdot \int_{R^{|\mathcal{L}|}_+} dv^G(\alpha)(R^\nu_0 \mathcal{I})^G(m,\alpha,k)_\epsilon, \tag{3.23}$$

$$(R^\nu_0 \mathcal{I})^G(m,\alpha,k)_\epsilon := \\ := \mathcal{I}^G(m,\alpha,k)_\epsilon - \sum_{\beta=0}^{\nu} \frac{1}{\beta\,!}\frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial\tau^\beta}\mathcal{I}^G(m,\alpha,\tau k)_\epsilon \Big|_{\tau=0}, \\ = \frac{1}{\nu\,!}\int_0^1 d\tau(1-\tau)^\nu \frac{\partial^{\nu+1}}{\partial\tau^{\nu+1}}\mathcal{I}^G(m,\alpha,\tau k)_\epsilon. \tag{3.24}$$

where subtraction operations under the integral sign are performed by using the Schlömilch integro-differential formula, see the 2nd line of Eq.(3.24), for the remainder term of Maclaurin's series. Firstly, this formula was applied explicitly to the FAs in the Parasiuk paper [8]. Although this expression guarantees a compact representation of the subtraction procedure, it is, nevertheless, inconvenient for computational purposes, because it involves additional integration and differentiations in the integrand. The expression in the 1st line of Eq.(3.24) is all the more inconvenient for these purposes, since every term on the right-hand side of one may be assosiated with a divergent integral.

At the same time the algorithm proposed and applied in [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39] is based on the observation, see [64], Ch. 9.2., eqs.(16, 17, 18), that,

$$e^x - \sum_{k=0}^{\nu_{sj}} \frac{x^k}{k\,!} = e^x \tilde{\gamma}(1+\nu_{sj};x),\ \tilde{\gamma}(\alpha;x) := \frac{\gamma(\alpha;x)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\nu_{sj}} \frac{x^k}{k\,!} = e^x \tilde{\Gamma}(1+\nu_{sj};x),\ \tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha;x) := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha;x)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \\ \tilde{\gamma}(\alpha;x) + \tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha;x) = 1. \tag{3.25}$$

Now, if we use: the explicit form of the integrand in Eq.(3.18); the homogeneous properties for parametric functions in $k_e, e \in \mathcal{E}$, see Eqs.(5.8); the 1st line of Eq.(3.24); the 1st line of Eq.(3.25); and the following useful relation,

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^{\nu} \frac{1}{\beta\,!}\frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial\tau^\beta}\{\tau^{s-2j}e^{i\tau^2 A}\}\,|_{\tau=0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu_{sj}} \frac{(iA)^k}{k\,!}, \\ \nu_{sj} := [(\nu-s)/2] + j, \tag{3.26}$$

we arrive at the multiplicative realization of the subtraction procedure in the integrand of Eq.(3.23) for the regular value of general FA (3.1),

$$(R^\nu_0 \mathcal{I})^G(m,\alpha,k)_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\Delta^{n/2}} \sum_{s=0}^{d^G} \sum_{j=0}^{[s/2]} \cdot \mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k) i^{-\omega-j} e^{-iV_\epsilon} \tilde{\gamma}(1+\nu_{sj};iA). \tag{3.27}$$

The integral in Eq.(3.23) with the integrand (3.27) at $\nu \ge \nu^G$ is now well-defined in the domain $R^{|\mathcal{L}|}_+$. The substitution of (3.27) into the integral (3.23) and the change of variables in integration according to Eq.(3.19) give rise to the expression

$$(R^\nu_0 I)^G(m,k)_\epsilon = (2\pi)^n \delta^G(k)\, b^G \int_{\Sigma^{|\mathcal{L}|-1}} \frac{d\mu^G(\alpha)}{\Delta^{n/2}} \\ \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{d^G} \sum_{j=0}^{[s/2]} \mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k)(R^\nu_0 \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A), \\ (R^\nu_0 \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A) := i^{-\omega-j} \tag{3.28} \\ \cdot \int_0^\infty d\rho\, \rho^{-\omega-j-1} e^{-i\rho(M_\epsilon - A)}\, \tilde{\gamma}(1+\nu_{sj}; i\rho\, A) = \\ = M_\epsilon^{\omega+j} q \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_{sj})}{\Gamma(2+\nu_{sj})} Z_\epsilon^{1+\nu_{sj}}\, {}_2F_1(1,\lambda_{sj};\, 2+\nu_{sj};\, Z_\epsilon), \\ \nu_{sj} := [(\nu-s)/2] + j,\ \lambda_{sj} := -\,\omega - j + 1 + \nu_{sj}.$$

The integration over ρ in (3.28) is performed with the use of the formula, see [65], Ch. 17.3., eq.(15),

$$\int_0^\infty dx\, x^{\mu-1} e^{-vx} \tilde{\gamma}(\nu; a\,x) = \\ = \frac{a^\nu \Gamma(\mu+\nu)}{(a+v)^{\mu+\nu}\Gamma(1+\nu)}\, {}_2F_1\big(1, \mu+\nu;\, 1+\nu;\, \frac{a}{a+v}\big), \\ \mathrm{Re}\,(a+v) > 0,\ \mathrm{Re}\, v > 0,\ \mathrm{Re}\,(\mu+\nu) > 0.$$

3.4 So, using properties of special functions substantially, the author has obtained [31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 39, 40, 41, 44, 45] high-efficient formulas which realize an analytical continuation (in the variables ω^G and ν^G) of the FAs which are represented first in Eqs.(3.1)-(3.3) by UV-divergent integrals, and are given finally in Eqs.(3.28)-(3.30) as convergent ones. As a result, we have the following α-parametric integral representation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} I^G(m,k)_\epsilon \\ (R^\nu_0 I)^G(m,k)_\epsilon \end{bmatrix} = (2\pi)^n \delta^G(k)\, b^G \int_{\Sigma^{|\mathcal{L}|-1}} \frac{d\mu^G(\alpha)}{\Delta^{n/2}} \\ \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{d^G} \sum_{j=0}^{[s/2]} \mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k) \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A) \\ (R^\nu_0 \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.29}$$

for convergent or dimensionally regularized value $I^G(m,k)_\epsilon$, and for regular value $(R^\nu_0 I)^G(m,k)_\epsilon$ of the integral (3.1). The subscript 0 and superscript ν on R

indicate that $(R_0^\nu I)^G(m,k)_\epsilon$ is the regular function in the vicinity of zero values of external momenta k_e, $e\in\mathcal{E}$, and is evaluated for an renormalization index $\nu=\nu^G$.

The explicit forms of basic functions $\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega;M_\epsilon,A)$ and $(R_0^\nu\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega;M_\epsilon,A)$ are as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega;M_\epsilon,A):=M_\epsilon^{\omega+j}(1-Z_\epsilon)^{\omega+j}\,\Gamma(-\omega-j)$$
$$=M_\epsilon^{\omega+j}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Gamma(-\omega-j+k)\,\frac{Z_\epsilon^k}{k!},\quad Z_\epsilon:=A/M_\epsilon,$$
$$(R_0^\nu\mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega;M_\epsilon,A):=M_\epsilon^{\omega+j}\,\Gamma(\lambda_{sj})/\Gamma(2+\nu_{sj})\cdot$$
$$\cdot Z_\epsilon^{1+\nu_{sj}}\,{}_2F_1(1,\lambda_{sj};\,2+\nu_{sj};\,Z_\epsilon)$$
$$=M_\epsilon^{\omega+j}\sum_{k=1+\nu_{sj}}^{\infty}\Gamma(-\omega-j+k)\,\frac{Z_\epsilon^k}{k!},\tag{3.30}$$
$$\nu_{sj}:=[(\nu-s)/2]+j=[\omega]+j+\sigma_s,$$
$$\lambda_{sj}:=-\omega-j+1+\nu_{sj}=1-\delta_n\delta_{|\mathcal{C}|}/2+\sigma_s,$$
$$[\omega]:=r_n|\mathcal{C}|+\delta_n r_{|\mathcal{C}|}-\lambda,\quad \omega=[\omega]+\delta_n\delta_{|\mathcal{C}|}/2,$$
$$\sigma_s:=[(\delta_n\delta_{|\mathcal{C}|}+d-s)/2],\quad |\mathcal{C}|=2r_{|\mathcal{C}|}+\delta_{|\mathcal{C}|},$$
$$\nu=\nu^G,\ \omega=\omega^G,\ \lambda=\lambda^G,\ d=d^G.$$

The $[(\nu-s)/2]$, $[(\nu+1-s)/2]$, and $[\omega]$ in Eqs.(3.28)-(3.31) are the integer parts of the $(\nu-s)/2$, $(\nu+1-s)/2$, and ω, respectively. The subscripts (s,j) on $\mathcal{F}_{sj}$ and $(R_0^\nu\mathcal{F})_{sj}$ just mean that these functions are attached to the homogeneous k-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(\alpha,m,k)$ of the degree $s-2j$, $j=0,\dots,[s/2]$, in external momenta k_e, $e\in\mathcal{E}$. The latter are α-images of the homogeneous p-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p)$ of the degree s appearing in $\mathcal{P}^G(m,p)$, see Eqs.(3.2). The k-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(\alpha,m,k)$ are constructed by means of α-parametric functions $Y_l(\alpha,k)$ and $X_{ll'}(\alpha)$, $l,l'\in\mathcal{L}$. The efficient and universal algorithm of building $\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(\alpha,m,k)$ is presented in Sec.4. The α-parametric functions $M_\epsilon\equiv M(m,\alpha)_\epsilon$ and $A\equiv A(\alpha,k)$, incoming in Eqs.(3.30) are defined in Eqs.(3.9) and (3.18), respectively. The $M(m,\alpha)_\epsilon$ is the linear form in the square of internal masses with $i\epsilon$-damping. The functions $A(\alpha,k)$ and $Y_l(\alpha,k)$ are known as the quadratic and linear Kirchhoff forms in external momenta, k_e, $e\in\mathcal{E}$. The function $\Delta\equiv\Delta(\alpha)$ is the Kirchhoff determinant, and the $X_{ll'}(\alpha)$ are the line-correlator functions. The high-efficient and universal algorithm of finding α-parametric functions $A(\alpha,k)$, $Y_l(\alpha,k)$, $X_{ll'}(\alpha)$, and $\Delta(\alpha)$ is given in Sec.5.

3.5 Investigation of complicated tangle of problems associated on the one hand with renormalization methods and on the other with conserved and broken symmetries, the Ward identities behavior, the Schwinger terms contributions, and quantum anomalies requires of finding renormalized FAs for different divergence indices. For example, amplitudes involved in the Ward identities have divergence indices ν^G and ν^G+1.

Regular values $(R_0^{\nu+1}I)^G(m,k)_\epsilon$ calculated for the renormalization index ν^G+1, once again, have form of Eq.(3.29) but with another basic functions $(R_0^{\nu+1}\mathcal{F})_{sj}$:

$$(R_0^{\nu+1}\mathcal{F})_{sj}:=M_\epsilon^{\omega+j}\,\Gamma(\lambda^1_{sj})/\Gamma(2+\nu^1_{sj})$$
$$\cdot Z_\epsilon^{1+\nu^1_{sj}}\,{}_2F_1(1,\lambda^1_{sj};\,2+\nu^1_{sj};\,Z_\epsilon),\tag{3.31}$$
$$\nu^1_{sj}:=[(\nu+1-s)/2]+j=[\omega]+\sigma^1_s+j,$$
$$\lambda^1_{sj}:=-\omega-j+1+\nu^1_{sj}=1+\sigma^1_s-\delta_n\delta_{|\mathcal{C}|}/2,$$
$$\sigma^1_s:=[(\delta_n\delta_{|\mathcal{C}|}+d+1-s)/2].$$

In general, $(R_0^{\nu+1}\mathcal{F})_{sj}\neq(R_0^\nu\mathcal{F})_{sj}$, as far as $\nu^1_{sj}\neq\nu_{sj}$. Difference between them is the important quantity

$$(\Delta_0^{(\nu+1,\nu)}\mathcal{F})_{sj}:=(R_0^{\nu+1}\mathcal{F})_{sj}-(R_0^\nu\mathcal{F})_{sj}$$
$$=-\,\Theta^{(\nu+1,\nu)}_{sj}\,\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_{sj})}{\Gamma(2+\nu_{sj})}\,M_\epsilon^{\omega+j}\,Z_\epsilon^{1+\nu_{sj}},\tag{3.32}$$
$$\Theta^{(\nu+1,\nu)}_{sj}:=H_+(\nu^1_{sj})\theta^{(\nu+1,\nu)}_s,$$
$$\theta^{(\nu+1,\nu)}_s:=\nu^1_{sj}-\nu_{sj}=\sigma^1_s-\sigma_s=\mid\delta_\nu-\delta_s\mid,$$
$$\nu=2r_\nu+\delta_\nu,\quad s=2r_s+\delta_s,\quad \nu,s\in\{0\cup\mathbb{N}_+\},$$

where $H_+(x)$ is the Heaviside step function such that $H_+(x)=0,\ x<0,\ H_+(x)=1,\ x\geq 0$, and $\delta_\nu,\delta_s:=\nu(\mathrm{mod}\,2),s(\mathrm{mod}\,2)=0,1$. It is this quantity that permits to obtain some efficient formulas for calculating of the *quantum corrections* (QCs) (i.e., quantum anomalies) to the canonical Ward identities (CWIs) of the most general kind. For example, to the Ward identities involving canonically non-conserved vector and (or) axial-vector currents for nondegenerate fermion systems (i.e., for systems with different fermion masses). Another a very useful quantity that is produced by differences

$$(\Delta_0^{(\nu+2,\nu)}\mathcal{F})_{sj}:=(R_0^{\nu+2}\mathcal{F})_{sj}-(R_0^\nu\mathcal{F})_{sj}$$
$$=(R_0^\nu\mathcal{F})_{s-2,j}-(R_0^{\nu-2}\mathcal{F})_{s-2,j}=$$
$$=(R_0^\nu\mathcal{F})_{s-2,j}-(R_0^\nu\mathcal{F})_{sj}=$$
$$=-\,H_+(1+\nu_{sj})\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_{sj})}{\Gamma(2+\nu_{sj})}\,M_\epsilon^{\omega+j}\,Z_\epsilon^{1+\nu_{sj}},\tag{3.33}$$

is closely related with $(\Delta_0^{(\nu+1,\nu)}\mathcal{F})_{sj}$.

3.6 The expressions given by Eqs.(3.28)-(3.30) have two the very important properties.

Firstly, they describe both divergent and convergent FAs in the unified manner. Really, due to properties [62]

Ch. 2.8, eqs.(4, 19), i.e., ${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \alpha;\, z) = (1-z)^{-\beta}$ and

$$\lim_{c\to\, 2-l,\, l=1,2,\ldots} {}_2F_1(a, b;\, c;\, z)/\Gamma(c) = \tag{3.34}$$
$$= \frac{(a)_{l-1}(b)_{l-1}}{(l-1)!} z^{l-1} {}_2F_1(a+l-1, b+l-1;\, l;\, z),$$

in the case $a = 1$, $b = \lambda_{sj} = -\,\omega - j + 1 - l$, $c = 2 - l$, from Eqs.(3.30) and (3.34) it follows

$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} = M_\epsilon^{\omega+j}\, \Gamma(-\,\omega - j) {}_2F_1(l, -\,\omega - j;\, l;\, Z_\epsilon)$$
$$= \mathcal{F}_{sj},\ \text{if}\ \nu_{sj} = -\,l,\ l \in \mathbb{N}_+\,, \tag{3.35}$$

i.e., the first relation in Eqs.(2.2).

Secondly, the basic functions $(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} \equiv (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A)$ of the self-consistently renormalized FAs obey *the same recurrence relations* as the basic functions $\mathcal{F}_{sj} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A)$ of convergent or dimensionally regularized FAs. Really, if the recurrence relation, see [62] Ch. 2.8, eq.42, i.e.,

$$(c-b-1)\, {}_2F_1(a, b;\, c;\, z) + b\, {}_2F_1(a, b+1;\, c;\, z) -$$
$$-(c-1)\, {}_2F_1(a, b;\, c-1;\, z) = 0, \tag{3.36}$$

between contiguous Gauss hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1$ in the case $a = 1$, $b = \lambda_{sj}$, $c = 2 + \nu_{sj}$, to multiply on the quantity $M_\epsilon^{\omega+j} Z_\epsilon^{1+\nu_{sj}} \Gamma(\lambda_{sj})/\Gamma(2+\nu_{sj})$ and to use of relations $\nu_{s-2,j-1} = \nu_{sj}$, $\lambda_{s-2,j-1}(\omega) = \lambda_{sj}(\omega) + 1$, and $\nu_{s,j-1} = \nu_{sj} - 1$, $\lambda_{s,j-1}(\omega) = \lambda_{sj}(\omega)$, then we obtain the following recurrence relations

$$M_\epsilon\, (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{s-2,j-1} - A\, (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{s,j-1} +$$
$$+ (\omega + j)\, (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} = 0, \tag{3.37}$$

between basic functions $(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} \equiv (R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A)$, i.e., the second relation in Eqs.(2.1).

3.7 Transformation formulae (see [62] Ch. 2.1.4, eqs.22 and 23) of ${}_2F_1$ give rise to the representations:

$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} = \frac{(-1)\Gamma(\lambda_{sj}) A^{\nu_{sj}}}{\Gamma(2+\nu_{sj}) M_\epsilon^{\lambda_{sj}-1}} \left(\frac{Z_\epsilon}{Z_\epsilon - 1}\right)$$
$$\cdot\, {}_2F_1\left(1, \omega + j + 1;\, 2 + \nu_{sj};\, \frac{Z_\epsilon}{Z_\epsilon - 1}\right), \tag{3.38}$$

$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} = (M_\epsilon - A)^{\omega+j} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_{sj})}{\Gamma(2+\nu_{sj})} Z_\epsilon^{1+\nu_{sj}}$$
$$\cdot\, {}_2F_1(1 + \nu_{sj}, \omega + j + 1;\, 2 + \nu_{sj};\, Z_\epsilon). \tag{3.39}$$

The equation (3.38) and the behaviour of ${}_2F_1(a, b;\, c;\, z)$ in the vicinity $z \to 1_-$ to determine completely the asymptotic of basic functions $(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}$ for $A < 0$ in the vicinity $M_\epsilon \to 0$, i.e., the chiral limit:

$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} \overset{M_\epsilon \to 0}{\simeq} \frac{(-1)\Gamma(\lambda_{sj} - 1) A^{\nu_{sj}}}{\Gamma(1+\nu_{sj})\, M_\epsilon^{\lambda_{sj}-1}},$$
$$\text{if}\ \nu_{sj} \geq 0\ \text{and}\ \lambda_{sj} - 1 > 0;$$
$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} \overset{M_\epsilon \to 0}{\simeq} \frac{(-1) A^{\nu_{sj}}}{\Gamma(1+\nu_{sj})} \ln\left(1 - A/M_\epsilon\right), \tag{3.40}$$
$$\text{if}\ \nu_{sj} \geq 0\ \text{and}\ \lambda_{sj} - 1 = 0;$$
$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} \overset{M_\epsilon \to 0}{\simeq} \Gamma(-\,\omega - j)(-A)^{\omega+j},$$
$$\text{if}\ \nu_{sj} \geq 0\ \text{and}\ \lambda_{sj} - 1 < 0\ \text{or}\ \nu_{sj} \leq -1,$$

which is equivalent also to the asymptotic behaviour of the basic functions in the case $A \to -\infty$, $M_\epsilon \neq 0$. From Eqs.(3.30) follows four different series of values for $\lambda_{sj} - 1$:

$$\lambda_{sj} - 1 = -\delta_n \delta_{|\mathcal{C}|}/2 +$$
$$+ (r_d - r_s) + [(\delta_n \delta_{|\mathcal{C}|} + \delta_d - \delta_s)/2], \tag{3.41}$$
$$\lambda_{sj} - 1 = (r_d - r_s) - 1/2,\ \delta_n \delta_{|\mathcal{C}|} = 1\ \&\ \delta_s \geq \delta_d;$$
$$= (r_d - r_s) + 1/2,\ \delta_n \delta_{|\mathcal{C}|} = 1\ \&\ \delta_d > \delta_s;$$
$$= (r_d - r_s),\qquad \delta_n \delta_{|\mathcal{C}|} = 0\ \&\ \delta_d \geq \delta_s;$$
$$= (r_d - r_s) - 1,\qquad \delta_n \delta_{|\mathcal{C}|} = 0\ \&\ \delta_s > \delta_d; \tag{3.42}$$
$$d = 2r_d + \delta_d,\ s = 2r_s + \delta_s,\ \ \delta_n,\ \delta_{|\mathcal{C}|},\ \delta_d,\ \delta_s = 0, 1.$$

It is evident that Eq.(3.39) to present a multiplicative realization of the subtraction procedure explicitly,

$$(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} := \mathcal{F}_{sj} - (S_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj} =$$
$$= \mathcal{F}_{sj}(\omega; M_\epsilon, A)\, (\Pi_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega; Z_\epsilon),$$
$$(\Pi_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}(\omega; Z_\epsilon) := \frac{(-\,\omega - j)_{1+\nu_{sj}}}{\Gamma(2+\nu_{sj})} Z_\epsilon^{1+\nu_{sj}} \tag{3.43}$$
$$\cdot\, {}_2F_1(1 + \nu_{sj}, \omega + j + 1; 2 + \nu_{sj}; Z_\epsilon).$$

4. Homogeneous k-polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{sj}^{G}(m, \alpha, k)$ of α-parametric representation of FAs

4.1 From Eq.(3.7) it is evident that the basic functions $(R_0^\nu \mathcal{F})_{sj}$ and the homogeneous k-polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{sj}^{G}(m, \alpha, k)$ in external momenta k_e, $e \in \mathcal{E}$, of degree $s - 2j$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, [s/2]$, are two closely related in pairs important universal ingrediants of the SCR representation of FAs. The latter are α-images of the homogeneous p-polynomials $\mathcal{P}_s^{G}(m, p)$ in internal momenta p_l, $l \in \mathcal{L}$, of degree s, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, d^{G}$, appearing in the numerator polynomial $\mathcal{P}^{G}(m, p)$, see Eqs.(3.1)-(3.2).

Each monomial of $\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k)$ is a product of $s-2j$ linear Kirchhoff forms $Y_l(\alpha,k) := \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} Y_{le}(\alpha)k_e$ and j line-correlator functions $X_{ll'}(\alpha)$, $l,l'\in\mathcal{L}$, of a graph G. The efficient algorithm of finding these expressions from initial homogeneous p-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p)$ in internal momenta p_l, $l\in\mathcal{L}$, of degree $s=0,1,\ldots,d^G$, has been elaborated in [31, 32, 33, 34]. It resembles Wick relations between time-ordered and normal products of boson fields in quantum field theory. The main steps of this algorithm are as following.

- The polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_{s0}(m,\alpha,k)$ are determined as

$$\mathcal{P}^G_{s0}(m,\alpha,k) := \mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p)|_{p_l=Y_l(\alpha,k)},\\ j=0,\ s=0,1,\ldots,d^G, \tag{4.1}$$

i.e., by the straightforward substitution $p_l\to Y_l(\alpha,k)$, $\forall l\in\mathcal{L}$, in the polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p)$.

- The polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k)$, $j=1,\ldots,[s/2]$, have the algebraic structure of quantities generated by the Wick formula which represents a T-product of s boson fields in terms of some set of N-products of $s-2j$ boson fields with j primitive contractions. In this case the linear Kirchhoff forms $Y^\sigma_l(\alpha,k)$ and their primitive correlators

$$\underbrace{Y^{\sigma_1}_{l_1}\cdots Y^{\sigma_2}_{l_2}} := (-1/2)X_{l_1l_2}(\alpha)g^{\sigma_1\sigma_2} \equiv\\ \equiv (-1/2)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\sigma_1\sigma_2\\ l_1\,l_2\end{smallmatrix}\right). \tag{4.2}$$

play a role of boson fields and contractions, respectively.

4.2 As a result, we come to the following general formulae. As far as homogeneous p-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p)$ can be always represented as

$$\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p) = \sum_{(i)\in G} a^{(i)}_s(m)\, p^{\sigma^{(i)}_1}_{l^{(i)}_1} p^{\sigma^{(i)}_2}_{l^{(i)}_2}\cdots\ p^{\sigma^{(i)}_s}_{l^{(i)}_s},\\ l^{(i)}_a\in\mathcal{L},\ a=1,\ldots,s, \tag{4.3}$$

where the coefficients $a^{(i)}_s(m)$ are functions in masses m_l, $l\in\mathcal{L}$, it is sufficient to find the image of some general monomial entering into the sum over $(i)\in G$ in Eq.(4.3). Calculation according to the above mentioned Wick type rule yields

$$p^{\sigma^{(i)}_1}_{l^{(i)}_1} p^{\sigma^{(i)}_2}_{l^{(i)}_2}\cdots\ p^{\sigma^{(i)}_s}_{l^{(i)}_s} \to \sum_{j=0}^{[s/2]} \mathcal{P}^{\sigma^{(i)}_1\cdots\ \sigma^{(i)}_s}_{(l^{(i)}_1\cdots\ l^{(i)}_s);j}(\alpha,k),\\ \mathcal{P}^{\sigma^{(i)}_1\cdots\ \sigma^{(i)}_s}_{(l^{(i)}_1\cdots\ l^{(i)}_s);j}(\alpha,k) = (-2)^{-j}\\ \cdot\sum_{d\in(1^{s-2j}2^j)} \mathcal{P}^{\sigma^{(i)}_{d(1)}\cdots\ \sigma^{(i)}_{d(s)}}_{(l^{(i)}_{d(1)}\cdots\ l^{(i)}_{d(s)});j}(\alpha,k),\\ \mathcal{P}^{\sigma^{(i)}_{d(1)}\cdots\ \sigma^{(i)}_{d(s)}}_{(l^{(i)}_{d(1)}\cdots\ l^{(i)}_{d(s)});j}(\alpha,k) := \prod^{s-2j} Y^{\sigma^{(i)}_{d(a)}}_{l^{(i)}_{d(a)}}(\alpha,k)\\ \cdot\prod^{j}\big(X_{l^{(i)}_{d(b)}l^{(i)}_{d(c)}}(\alpha)g^{\sigma^{(i)}_{d(b)}\sigma^{(i)}_{d(c)}}\big), \tag{4.4}$$

where the summation in the second Eq.(4.4) is extended over all partitions d of $(l^{(i)}_1, l^{(i)}_2,\ldots,l^{(i)}_s)$ according to the Young scheme $(1^{s-2j}2^j)$. Then the image of homogeneous p-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p)$ given by Eq.(4.3) is

$$\mathcal{P}^G_s(m,p) \to \sum_{j=0}^{[s/2]} \mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k), \tag{4.5}\\ \mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k) = \sum_{(i)\in G} a^{(i)}_s(m)\mathcal{P}^{\sigma^{(i)}_1\cdots\ \sigma^{(i)}_s}_{(l^{(i)}_1\cdots\ l^{(i)}_s);j}(\alpha,k).$$

In so doing, we are arrived to special j-degree homogeneous polynomials in variables $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\sigma_1\sigma_2\\ l_1\,l_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ involved in primitive correlators, see Eq.(4.2). Polynomials of this type was introduced and named as hafnians by Caianiello [66, 67] in the course of his QED investigations. Hafnians are the counterparts of phaffians and closely connected with permanents. The simplest nontrivial hafnian $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\\ l_1\,l_2\,l_3\,l_4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of 2-degree is given below in the last three lines of Eq.(4.6).

4.3 Taking into account the very important applied meaning of an algorithm of constructing a family of homogeneous k-polynomials $\mathcal{P}^G_{sj}(m,\alpha,k)$ from initial p-

polynomials $\mathcal{P}_s^G(m,p)$, we give some examples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1\to\quad & j=0:1;\\
p_l^\sigma\to\quad & j=0:Y_l^\sigma=:\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma}{l};\\
p_{l_1}^{\sigma_1}p_{l_2}^{\sigma_2}\to\quad & j=0:Y_{l_1}^{\sigma_1}Y_{l_2}^{\sigma_2}=:\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_2}{l_1\,l_2},\\
& j=1:(-\tfrac{1}{2})\{X_{l_1l_2}g^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}=:\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_2}{l_1\,l_2}\};\\
p_{l_1}^{\sigma_1}p_{l_2}^{\sigma_2}p_{l_3}^{\sigma_3}\to\quad & j=0:Y_{l_1}^{\sigma_1}Y_{l_2}^{\sigma_2}Y_{l_3}^{\sigma_3}=:\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3}{l_1\,l_2\,l_3},\\
& j=1:(-\tfrac{1}{2})\{\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_2}{l_1\,l_2}\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_3}{l_3}+\\
& \qquad +\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_3}{l_1\,l_3}\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_2}{l_2}+\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_2\sigma_3}{l_2\,l_3}\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1}{l_1}\};\\
p_{l_1}^{\sigma_1}p_{l_2}^{\sigma_2}p_{l_3}^{\sigma_3}p_{l_4}^{\sigma_4}\to\quad & j=0:Y_{l_1}^{\sigma_1}Y_{l_2}^{\sigma_2}Y_{l_3}^{\sigma_3}Y_{l_4}^{\sigma_4}=:\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4}{l_1\,l_2\,l_3\,l_4},\\
& j=1:(-\tfrac{1}{2})\{\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_2}{l_1\,l_2}\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_3\sigma_4}{l_3\,l_4}+\\
& \qquad +\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_3}{l_1\,l_3}\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_2\sigma_4}{l_2\,l_4}+\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_4}{l_1\,l_4}\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_2\sigma_3}{l_2\,l_3}\\
& \qquad +\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_2\sigma_3}{l_2\,l_3}\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_4}{l_1\,l_4}+\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_2\sigma_4}{l_2\,l_4}\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_3}{l_1\,l_3}\\
& \qquad +\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_3\sigma_4}{l_3\,l_4}\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_2}{l_1\,l_2}\},\qquad (4.6)\\
& j=2:(-\tfrac{1}{2})^2\{\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_2}{l_1\,l_2}\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_3\sigma_4}{l_3\,l_4}+\\
& \qquad +\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_3}{l_1\,l_3}\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_2\sigma_4}{l_2\,l_4}+\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_4}{l_1\,l_4}\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_2\sigma_3}{l_2\,l_3}\}\\
& \qquad =:(-\tfrac{1}{2})^2\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4}{l_1\,l_2\,l_3\,l_4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Parametric functions of FAs

5.1 Now, let us formulate an algorithm of finding the parametric functions

$$\Delta(\alpha),\quad A(\alpha,k),\quad Y_l(\alpha,k),\quad X_{ll'}(\alpha),\ l,l'\in\mathcal{L},$$

of Feynman amplitudes. Of course, it is to be mentioned that we can in principle use any one of the available approaches. Contributions to this subject have been made by many authors. We point out here the very incomplete list of quotes, namely papers by Chisholm [68], Nambu [69], Symanzik [70], Nakanishi [71], Schimamoto [72], Bjorken and Wu [73], Peres [74], Lam and Lebrun [75], Stepanov [76], Liu and Chow [77], Cvitanovic and Kinoshita [78], and books by Todorov [79], Speer [80], Nakanishi [81], Zavialov [82], Smirnov [83], in which many other citations can be found. Nevertheless, our algorithm seems to be very simple, but universal enough. It is named by the author [84, 85, 32] as *circuit-path* algorithm.

5.2 Suppose we have connected graph $G(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{L}\cup\mathcal{E})$ with sets of vertices, $\mathcal{V}$, of internal lines, $\mathcal{L}$, of external lines, $\mathcal{E}$, and with a certain relation of incidence between $\mathcal{V}$ and $\Lambda\equiv\mathcal{L}\cup\mathcal{E}$, described by an oriented incidence matrix $e_{il}\equiv[e_{\mathcal{V}\Lambda}]_{il}=0,\pm1,\ v_i\in\mathcal{V},\ l\in\Lambda$. In particular, $e_{il}=0$, if the line l is nonincident to the vertex v_i; $e_{il}=1$, if the line l is outgoing from the vertex v_i; $e_{il}=-1$, if the line l is incoming to the vertex v_i. The fact that the set of all lines Λ is separated from the beginning into two mutually disjoint subsets $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ (incident properties of which are different) is very important both from algorithmic point of view and from potential possibilities. In so doing, we need not replace here the set of external lines (incident to some one vertex) by some effective line, or assign the same orientation to all external lines, as is usually done. Therefore, we can set the task of constructing parametric functions of whole graph via parametric functions of its subgraphs. As a result, circuit-path approach is naturally arose and the recursive structure of parametric functions of FAs has been obtained [85, 86].

5.3 The set of external lines, $\mathcal{E}$, induces the single-valued decomposition of the set of all vertices, $\mathcal{V}$, into the subset of external vertices, $\mathcal{V}^{ext}$, and the subset of internal vertices, $\mathcal{V}^{int}$. The set of internal lines, $\mathcal{L}$, can be always decompose (as a rule in more than one way) into two mutually disjoint subsets, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, which determine some *skeleton tree* and corresponding to it *co-tree* subgraphs of the graph G. So, we have the following decomposition of the set $\Lambda=\mathcal{E}\cup\mathcal{N}\cup\mathcal{M}$ of all lines of the graph G into mutually disjoint subsets, $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{M}$. Then circuit-path algorithm requires the following steps:

• Let us choose a subset $\mathcal{N}\subset\mathcal{L}$ such that the subgraph $G(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{M}\cup\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N}$, is a *skeleton tree* type graph and the subgraph $G(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{N}\cup\mathcal{E})$ is a *co-tree* type graph. It is clear that this choice is ambiguous. It is shown in [84], however, that the parametric functions are independent of any choice of $\mathcal{N}$.

• Let us choose a vertex $v_j\in\mathcal{V}$ which will be refered to as a *basis vertex* (or *reference vertex*, or *zero point*). It is clear that this choice is also ambiguous. But it is shown in [84], that the parametric functions are again independent of any given choice of v_j. From the viewpoint of practical calculations it seems reasonable to choose the basis vertex v_j as such a vertex to which the largest number of external lines of the graph are incident.

• The choice of $\mathcal{N}\subset\mathcal{L}$ and the basis vertex v_j uniquely defines notions of basis circuits $C(n)$, $n\in\mathcal{N}$, and basis paths $P(j|e)$, $e\in\mathcal{E}$, namely.

The *basis circuit* $C(n)$ generated by the line $n\in\mathcal{N}$ is a union of the line n with the subset $\mathcal{M}(n)\subset\mathcal{M}$ which forms a chain in $\mathcal{M}$ between vertices incident to the line n, i.e. $C(n):=\{n\}\cup\mathcal{M}(n)$. The orientation in the circuit $C(n)$ is defined by the orientation of the line

$n \in \mathcal{N}$.

The *basis path* $P(j|e)$ generated by the line $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and the basis vertex v_j is a union of the line e with the subset $\mathcal{M}(j|e) \subset \mathcal{M}$ which forms a chain in $\mathcal{M}$ between a vertex incident to the line $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and the basis vertex v_j, i.e. $P(j|e) := \{e\} \cup \mathcal{M}(j|e)$. The orientation in the path $P(j|e)$ is defined by the orientation of the line $e \in \mathcal{E}$.

• By analogy with the incidence matrix $e_{\mathcal{V}\Lambda}$, that is more precisely can be refered to as the *vertex-line* incidence matrix, one introduces topologically the *line-circuit* $e_{\Lambda\mathcal{N}}$ [77, 78, 81, 84, 85], and the *line-path* $e_{\Lambda\mathcal{E}}(j)$ [84, 85] incidence matrices, namely:

$$[e_{\Lambda\mathcal{N}}]_{ln} := \begin{cases} 0, & l \notin C(n), \\ \pm 1, & l \in C(n); \end{cases}$$
$$[e_{\Lambda\mathcal{E}}(j)]_{le} := \begin{cases} 0, & l \notin P(j|e), \\ \pm 1, & l \in P(j|e). \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Here the plus or minus sign depends on whether the orientation of the line $l \in \Lambda$ coincide or not with the orientation of the circuit $C(n)$ for $e_{\Lambda\mathcal{N}}$ or the path $P(j|e)$ for $e_{\Lambda\mathcal{E}}(j)$. As a result, the column-vector p_Λ of all momenta $p_l, l \in \Lambda$, and submatrices of $e_{\Lambda\mathcal{N}}$ and $e_{\Lambda\mathcal{E}}(j)$, the rows of which are associated with the partition $\Lambda = \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{M}$, can be represented as follows [84, 85]:

$$p_\Lambda = p_\Lambda^{ext} + p_\Lambda^{int},\ p_\Lambda^{ext} = e_{\Lambda\mathcal{E}}(j) k_\mathcal{E},\ p_\Lambda^{int} = e_{\Lambda\mathcal{N}} p_\mathcal{N};$$
$$e_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j) = 1_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}},\ e_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}}(j|\mathcal{N}) = 0_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}},$$
$$e_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{E}}(j|\mathcal{N}) = -e^{-1}_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{M}} e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{E}};$$
$$e_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}} = 0_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}, \quad e_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}} = 1_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}, \tag{5.2}$$
$$e_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} = -e^{-1}_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{M}} e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{N}}.$$

From now on, $k_\mathcal{E}$ and $p_\mathcal{N}$ are the column-vectors of external momenta $k_e, e \in \mathcal{E}$, and independent integration momenta $p_n, n \in \mathcal{N}$, respectively; $0_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ is the $|\mathcal{A}| \times |\mathcal{B}|$-rectangular matrix of zeros, and $1_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}}$ is the $|\mathcal{A}|$-dimensional unit matrix. Matrices $e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{E}}$, $e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{N}}$, $e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{M}}$, are submatrices of $e_{\mathcal{V}\Lambda}$. Their rows are defined by the set $(\mathcal{V}/v_j) \subset \mathcal{V}$, and their columns are defined by the subsets $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M}$, respectively. The $(|\mathcal{V}|-1)$-dimensional square matrix $e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{M}}$ is nonsingular, and $\det[e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{M}}] = \pm 1$. In submatrices of the second and third lines of Eqs.(5.2), the subset $\mathcal{N}$ is pointed out explicitly, because of $e_{\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{E}}(j|\mathcal{N}) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{E}}$, and $e_{\mathcal{M}'\mathcal{E}}(j|\mathcal{N}) \neq e_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{E}}(j|\mathcal{N})$ if $\mathcal{N}' \neq \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}' \cup \mathcal{M}'$, but $e_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\mathcal{N}) = e_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\mathcal{N}') = 1_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}$.

• There exist the following very important "orthogonality" relations [87, 84, 85]:

$$e_{\mathcal{V}\Lambda} e_{\Lambda\mathcal{N}} = e_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{L}} e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}} = 0_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{N}},$$
$$e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\Lambda} e_{\Lambda\mathcal{N}} = e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{L}} e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}} = 0_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{N}},$$
$$[e_{\mathcal{V}\Lambda} e_{\Lambda\mathcal{E}}(j)]_{ie} = \delta_{ij} [e(\mathcal{V}^*)_\mathcal{E}]_e,$$
$$e_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\Lambda} e_{\Lambda\mathcal{E}}(j) = 0_{\{\mathcal{V}/j\}\mathcal{E}}, \tag{5.3}$$

where $e(\mathcal{V}^*)_\mathcal{E}$ is the vertex-line incidence matrix of the *"star"* type graph $G^* := <\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{E}>$ with the one vertex $\mathcal{V}^*$ and the set of external lines $\mathcal{E}$ of the graph G. The graph $G^* := <\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{E}>$ is a result of shrinking of all vertices $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$, and all internal lines $l \in \mathcal{L}$, of the graph G to the single *"black-hole"* vertex $\mathcal{V}^*$.

• On assigning to every internal line $l \in \mathcal{L}$ the parameter $\alpha_l \geq 0$, we define the *circuit* $C_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)$, *path* $E_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)$, and *path-circuit* $\Pi_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}(j|\alpha)$ matrices [84, 85], according to:

$$[C_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)]_{nn'} := [e^T_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}} \alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}]_{nn'} = = \pm \sum_{l \in C(n) \cap C(n')} \alpha_l,$$
$$[E_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)]_{ee'} := [e^T_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j) \alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j)]_{ee'} = = \pm \sum_{l \in P(j|e) \cap P(j|e')} \alpha_l,$$
$$[\Pi_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}(j|\alpha)]_{en} := [e^T_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j) \alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}]_{en} = = \pm \sum_{l \in P(j|e) \cap C(n)} \alpha_l. \tag{5.4}$$

Here the plus or minus sign depends on the mutual orientations of the sets, over which the summation is performed, on their intersection. The plus sign corresponds to the case of coinciding orientations. It is clear that the explicit form of these matrices in any given case can be easily obtained by inspecting the graph. From now on $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}$ is the diagonal $|\mathcal{L}|$-dimensional matrix, i.e., $[\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}]_{ll'} = \alpha_l\, \delta_{ll'}$.

• The parametric functions are derived by means of use the following matrices [84, 86]:

$$A_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha) := E_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha) - \Pi_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}(j|\alpha) C^{-1}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha) \Pi^T_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}(j|\alpha),$$
$$Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha) := e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j) - e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}} C^{-1}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha) \Pi^T_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}(j|\alpha),$$
$$X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha) := e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}} C^{-1}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha) e^T_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}},$$
$$\Delta(\alpha) := \det C_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha). \tag{5.5}$$

So, the quadratic $A(\alpha, k)$ and linear $Y_l(\alpha, k)$, $l \in \mathcal{L}$, Kirchhoff forms in external momenta k_e, $e \in \mathcal{E}$, and the line-correlator functions $X_{ll'}(\alpha)$, $l, l' \in \mathcal{L}$, are defined

as [84, 86]:

$$A(\alpha,k) := (k_{\mathcal{E}}^{T}\cdot A_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}}) = \\ = \sum_{e,e'\in\mathcal{E}}[A_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)]_{ee'}(k_e\cdot k_{e'}),$$

$$Y_l(\alpha,k) := Y_{l\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)k_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}}[Y_{l\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha)]_e k_e,$$

$$Y_{l\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha) = e_{l\mathcal{E}}(j) - e_{l\mathcal{N}}C^{-1}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)\Pi^{T}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}(j|\alpha),$$

$$X_{ll'}(\alpha) = e_{l\mathcal{N}}C^{-1}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)e^{T}_{l'\mathcal{N}}. \tag{5.6}$$

Here $e_{l\mathcal{N}}$ and $e_{l\mathcal{E}}(j)$ are row-vectors of matrices (5.1)-(5.2) for the line $l\in\mathcal{L}$.

5.4 It should be mentioned that functions $\Delta(\alpha)$ and $A(\alpha,k)$ do not depend on the orientation of internal lines. However, when the orientation of the line l is changed, parametric functions $Y_l(\alpha,k)$ and $X_{ll'}(\alpha)$ are reversed their sign.

It is also useful to represent quantities $A(\alpha,k)$ and $Y_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha,k)$ in a form exhibiting a special role of matrices $X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)$ and $X_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)$ [78, 84]:

$$A(\alpha,k) = \\ = \left(p^{ext}_{\mathcal{L}}(k)^{T}\cdot[\,\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} - \alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\,]\,p^{ext}_{\mathcal{L}}(k)\right),$$

$$p^{ext}_{\mathcal{L}}(k) = e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j)k_{\mathcal{E}},\quad p^{ext}_{\mathcal{E}}(k) = k_{\mathcal{E}},\quad p^{ext}_{\mathcal{N}}(k) = 0_{\mathcal{N}},$$

$$Y_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha,k) = [\,1_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} - X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\,]\,p^{ext}_{\mathcal{L}}(k) = \\ = p^{ext}_{\mathcal{L}}(k) - Y^{int}_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha,k),$$

$$Y^{int}_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha,k) := X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\,p^{ext}_{\mathcal{L}}(k),$$

$$X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha) := e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}X_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha)e^{T}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}},$$

$$X_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha) := C^{-1}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(\alpha), \tag{5.7}$$

where $k_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the column-vector of external momenta k_e, $e\in\mathcal{E}$. There hold the following homogeneous properties:

$$\Delta(\rho\alpha) = \rho^{|\mathcal{C}|}\Delta(\alpha),\quad X_{ll'}(\rho\alpha) = \rho^{-1}X_{ll'}(\alpha),$$

$$A(\rho\alpha,\tau k) = \rho\tau^2 A(\alpha,k),\quad Y_l(\rho\alpha,\tau k) = \tau Y_l(\alpha,k),$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{G}_{sj}(m,\rho\alpha,\tau k) = \rho^{-j}\tau^{s-2j}\,\mathcal{P}^{G}_{sj}(m,\alpha,k). \tag{5.8}$$

5.5 Now we exhibit some important properties of α-parametric functions [45]. Let us introduce quantities,

$$K^{r}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} := X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}},\quad K^{l}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} := \alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}},$$

$$L^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} := 1_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} - K^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}},\quad i = r,\, l. \tag{5.9}$$

Using Eqs.(5.3)-(5.5), we find that matrices $K^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)$ and $L^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)$ are projectors with next properties:

$$K^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}K^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} = K^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}},\quad L^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}L^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} = L^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}},\quad i = r,l,$$

$$K^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}L^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} = 0_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}},\quad K^{l}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}L^{r}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} = 0_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}, \tag{5.10}$$

From Eqs.(5.10), we get some relations between products of $X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}$, $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}$, and $Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\right)^{m}X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} = X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} = X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}},\\
&\left(L^{r}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\right)^{m}X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}} = 0_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}},\\
&\left(X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\right)^{m}Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}} = X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}} = 0_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}},\\
&\left(L^{r}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\right)^{m}Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}} = Y_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}},\\
&\mathrm{Tr}\left[\left(K^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\right)^{m}\right] = \mathrm{Tr}\left[K^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\right] = \textstyle\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}}\alpha_l X_{ll}(\alpha) = |\mathcal{N}|,\\
&\mathrm{Tr}\left[\left(L^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\right)^{m}\right] = \mathrm{Tr}\left[L^{i}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\right] = |\mathcal{M}|,\quad i = r,l,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

and the following relations between quadratic $A(\alpha,k)$ and linear $Y_l(\alpha,k)$, $l\in\mathcal{L}$, Kirchhoff forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\alpha,k) &= (Y_{\mathcal{L}}^{T}\cdot\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}p^{ext}_{\mathcal{L}}) = (p^{ext\,T}_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}Y_{\mathcal{L}}) \equiv\\
&\equiv \textstyle\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}}\alpha_l(p^{ext}_{l}(k)\cdot Y_l(\alpha,k)) =\\
&= (Y_{\mathcal{L}}^{T}\cdot\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}Y_{\mathcal{L}}) \equiv \textstyle\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}}\alpha_l Y_l^2(\alpha,k).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

There exist also the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}}k_{\mathcal{E}} + e_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{L}}Y_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha,k) = 0_{\mathcal{V}},\quad e^{T}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}Y_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha,k) = 0_{\mathcal{N}},\\
&e_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{L}}X_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}(\alpha) = 0_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{L}},\\
&K^{r}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j) = -e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}Y_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}}(j|\alpha) = e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}}K^{r}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}}e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}}(j),\\
&K^{r}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}} = e_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{N}},\\
&\left(Y^{int\,T}_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}Y_{\mathcal{L}}\right) =\\
&= \left(Y^{int\,T}_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}\,p^{ext}_{\mathcal{L}}\right) - \left(Y^{int\,T}_{\mathcal{L}}\cdot\alpha_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}Y^{int}_{\mathcal{L}}\right) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Two relations in the first line of Eqs.(5.13) in our case of α-parametric functions are analogs of the first and the second Kirchhoff laws in electric networks. Similarly, in the third line of Eqs.(5.12) we find in our case an analog of the well-known expression for a power dissipated in electric networks.

The present author wishes to express his gratitude thanks to Reviewer for constructive review and valuable suggestions that enhances the improving of the article. The paper is based on the report presented at the Bogolyubov Kyiv conference "Modern Problems of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics", September 15-18, 2009, Kyiv, Ukraine.

1. N. N. Bogoliubov and O. S. Parasiuk, Towards the multiplication theory of causal singular functions, Dokl. AN SSSR, **100**, N.1, 25–28 (1955).
2. N. N. Bogoliubov and O. S. Parasiuk, On the subtraction formalism in the multiplication of causal functions, Dokl. AN SSSR, **100**, N.3, 429–432 (1955).

3. O. S. Parasiuk, Towards the theory of causal singular functions, Dokl. AN SSSR, **100**, N.4, 643–645 (1955).

4. N. N. Bogoliubov and O. S. Parasiuk, On the subtraction formalism in multiplicating of causal functions, Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem., **20**, N.5, 585–610 (1956).

5. O. S. Parasiuk, Multiplicating of causal functions for noncoinciding arguments, Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem., **20**, N.6, 843–852 (1956).

6. N. N. Bogoliubov und O. S. Parasiuk, Über die Multiplikation der Kausalfunk'tionen in der Quantentheorie der Felder, Acta Math., **97**, N.1–2, 227–266 (1957).

7. O. S. Parasiuk, Distributions in the field theory, in *Proc. of The Third All-Union Mathematical Congress, Moscow, June 25–July 4, 1956, Vol.III, Plenary Reports*, (Izd.-vo AN SSSR, Moscow, 1958), p.558–566.

8. O. S. Parasiuk, On Bogoliubov's theory of R-operation, Ukr. Matem. Zhurn., **12**, N.3, 287–307 (1960).

9. A. L. Cauchy, Sur un nouveau genre d'intégrales, in: *"Exercices de mathématiques",* (Imprimerie Royale, Paris, 1826). See also, *Œuvres complètes,* (Gauthier–Villars, Paris, 1887), **6**, 78–88. In Cauchy's 1844 memoir [11] he wrote that the paper on the intégrale extraordinaire had been presented in its initial form to the Acad'emie des Sciences on January 2, 1815.

10. A. L. Cauchy, Sur les diverses propriétés de la fonction $\Gamma(x)$, in: *"Exercices de mathématiques",* (Imprimerie Royale, Paris, 1827). See also, *Œuvres complètes,* (Gauthier–Villars, Paris, 1887), **7**, 121–123.

11. A. L. Cauchy, Mémoire sur diverses formules relatives à la théorie des intégrales définies et sur la conversion des différences finies des puissances intégrales de cette espèce, J. École Polytechnique, **18**, 28ème Cahier, 147–248 (1844).

12. R. d'Adhemar, Sur une classe d'équations aux dérivées partielles de second ordre, du type hyperbolique, à 3 ou 4 variables indépendantes, J. Math. Pures et Appl., Sér. 5, **10**, 131–207 (1904).

13. R. d'Adhemar, Sur l'intégration des équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre du type hyperbolique, J. Math. Pures et Appl., Sér. 6, **2**, 357–379 (1906).

14. J. Hadamard, Sur les équations aux dérivées partielles linéaires du second ordre, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, **137**, 1028–1030 (1903). See also, *Œuvres de Jacques Hadamard, Vol. 1-4,* (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1968), **3**, 1111–1113.

15. J. Hadamard, Recherches sur les solutions fondamentales et l'intégration des équations linéaires aux dérivées partielles, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., Sér. 3, **21**, 535-556 (1904). See also, *Œuvres de Jacques Hadamard, Vol. 1-4,* (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1968), **3**, 1173–1194.

16. J. Hadamard, Recherches sur les solutions fondamentales et l'intégration des équations linéaires aux dérivées partielles (deuxième mémoire), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., Sér. 3, **22**, 101–141 (1905). See also, *Œuvres de Jacques Hadamard, Vol. 1-4,* (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1968), **3**, 1195–1235.

17. J. Hadamard, Thèorie des équations linéaires hyperboliques et le problème de Cauchy, Acta Math., **31**, 333–380 (1908). See also, *Œuvres de Jacques Hadamard, Vol. 1-4,* (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1968), **3**, 1249–1296.

18. L. Saalschütz, Bemerkungen über die Gammafunctionen mit negativen Argumenten, Zeitschr. Math. und Phys., **32**, 246–250 (1887).

19. L. Saalschütz, Weiterre Bemerkungen über die Gammafunctionen mit negativen Argumenten, Zeitschr. Math. und Phys., **33**, 362–374 (1888).

20. V. Maz'ya and T. Shaposhnikova, *Jacques Hadamard, a universal mathematician,* (American Mathematical Society, London Mathematical Society, 1998, 574p). Russian translation, (MCNMO, Moscow, 2008, 528p).

21. J. Hadamard, *Le problème de Cauchy et les équations aux dérivées partielles linéaires hyperboliques,* (Hermann, Paris, 1932). Russian translation, (Nauka, Moscow, 1978, 352p).

22. J. Hadamard, *The psychology of invention in the mathematical field,* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1945), see spec. p. 110, footnote. Russian translation (Sovetskoe Radio, Moscow, 1970, 150p.) from French edition (Paris, Albert Blanchard, 1959) is reprinted also by (MCNMO, Moscow, 2001, 128p.). See spec. footnotes on p.104 or p.86 in them.

23. M. Riesz, L'intègrale de Riemann-Liouville et de probleme de Cauchy, Acta Math., **81**, N.1-2, 1–223 (1949).

24. M. Riesz, The analytic continuation of the Riemann-Liouville integral in the hyperbolic case, Canad. J. Math., **13**, N.1, 37–47 (1961).

25. F. J. Bureau, Divergent integrals and partial differential equations, Comm. Pure and Apll. Math., **8**, N.1, 143–202 (1955).

26. R. Courant, *Partial differential equations,* (Interscience Publishers, New York, London, 1962). Russian translation, (MIR, Moscow, 1964, 830p).

27. S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives with some their applications,* (Nauka i Technika, Minsk, 1987, 688p). English translation, (Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York, London, Paris, 1993).

28. B. M. Stepanov, Abstract theory of the R-operation, Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem., **27**, N.4, 819–838 (1963).

29. B. M. Stepanov, On constructing of the S-matrix by means of the perturbation theory, Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem., **29**, N.5, 1037–1054 (1965).

30. K. Hepp, Proof of the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk theorem on renormalization, Comm. Math. Phys., **2**, N.4, 301–326 (1966).

31. V. I. Kucheryavy, Feynman amplitude and the Meyer G-function. A unified representation for divergent and convergent graphs, Theor. Math. Phys., **20**, N.1, 29–47 (1974); Preprint ITP–73–46E, Kiev, 1973, 45p.

32. V. I. Kucheryavy, On some algorithmic features of the subtraction procedure in quantum field theory, Nucl. Phys., **B127**, N.1, 66–86 (1977); Preprint ITP–76–132R, Kiev, 1976, 27p.

33. V. I. Kucheryavy, On the parametric integral reepresentations for singular and regular parts of divergent Feynman amplitudes. I. Formulas of general character, Preprint ITP–79–107R, Kiev, 1979, 36p. II. Some specific applications, Preprint ITP–79–112R, Kiev, 1979, 34p.

34. V. I. Kucheryavy, Simple parametric integral representations for regular (finite) and singular parts of divergent Feynman amplitudes. I. Formulae of general character, Theor. Math. Phys., **51**, N.3, 355–365 (1982).

35. V.I. Kucheryavy, Calculation of the regular values of self-energies for the neutral scalar field model with interaction $\mathcal{L}_{int} = g_0\varphi^N(x)$ and for spinor field model with four-fermion interaction $\mathcal{L}_{int} =:\overline{\psi}\Gamma_1\psi::\overline{\psi}\Gamma_2\psi:$ in the n-dimensional space-time, Preprint ITP–82–91R, Kiev, 1982, 30p.

36. V.I. Kucheryavy, On some efficient realization of the canonical subtraction procedure of quantum field theory illustrated by examples of self-energies for the neutral scalar field models with interactions $\mathcal{L}_{int} = g_0\varphi^N(x)$ in the n-dimensional space-time, Dopovidi AN Ukr. SSR, Ser. A, N.5, 62–65 (1983).

37. V.I. Kucheryavy, Simple α-parametric integrals of renormalized Feynman amplitudes, Dopovidi AN Ukr. SSR, Ser. A, N.7, 59–63 (1983).

38. V.I. Kucheryavy, Mass effects in quantum corrections to canonical Ward' identities for three-point chronological current correlators, Preprint ITP–87–130R, Kiev, 1987, 48p.

39. V.I. Kucheryavy, Mass effects in three-point chronological current correlators for n-dimensional many-fermion models, J. Nucl. Phys., **53**, N.4, 1150–1163 (1991).

40. V.I. Kucheryavy, The Bogoliubov-Parasiuk R-operation in quantum field theory, Ukr. J. Phys., **36**, N.12, 1769–1785 (1991).

41. V.I. Kucheryavy, Symmetries and anomalies of quantum field theory in the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk renormalization scheme, Ukr. Math. J., **43**, N.11, 1445–1456 (1991).

42. V.I. Kucheryavy, Some polarization properties of many-fermion systems for n-dimensional worlds in the framework of self-consistent renormalization, in *"Non-Euclidean Geometry in Modern Physics"*, edited by L. Jenkovszky (Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics of NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, 1997), p.224–236.

43. V.I. Kucheryavy, The finite QED electron self-energy in the framework of self-consistent renormalization, in *"The Centenary of Electron (EL–100)"*, edited by A. Zavilopulo, Yu. Azhniuk, and L. Bandurina (Karpaty Publishers, Uzhgorod, 1997), p.252–257.

44. V.I. Kucheryavy, Ultraviolet divergence problems and differential properties of basic functions of Feynman amplitudes, in *"The Ukrainian Mathematical Congress – 2001, Mathematical Physics, Section 5, Proccedings"*, edited by A. G. Nikitin and D. Ya. Petrina (Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, 2002), p.54–72,

45. V.I. Kucheryavy, Ward identities, symmetries, and quantum anomalies as stimulators of self-consistent renormalization, in *"Proceedings of the IVth International Hutsulian Workshop on Mathematical Theories and Their Applications in Physics & Technology"*, edited by S.S. Moskaliuk (TIMPANI, Kyiv, 2004), p.97–154.

46. Tullio Regge, Algebraic topology methods in the theory of Feynman relativistic amplitudes, in *Battelle rencontres. 1967 Lectures in mathematics and physics*, edited by Cecile M. DeWitt and John A. Wheeler, (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, Amsterdam, 1968, 557p), pp.433-458. Here, on the p.442 we find the following text: "...Very helpful in this direction is the remark that property III is equivalent to a set of differential equations which reduces to the ordinary differential equation for the hypergeometric functions case. This equivalence has been pointed out to us by Parasiuk in Kiev and we think that it was known as far back as Schlaefli..."

47. V. Z. Enolsky and V. A. Golubeva, Differential equations for the Feynman amplitude of a single-loop graph, Preprint ITP–75–15E, Kiev, 1975, 20p., for the graph with N vertices; Differential equations for the Feynman amplitude of a single-loop graph with four vertices, Mathematical Notes, **23**, N.1, 113–119 (1978).

48. V. A. Golubeva, Some problems in the analytic theory of Feynman integrals, Uspehi Math. Nauk, **31**, N.2, 135–202 (1976).

49. A. V. Kotikov, Differential equations method. New technique for massive Feynman diagram calculation, Phys. Lett., **B254**, N.1,2, 158–164, (1991); see also Preprint ITP–90–45E, Kiev, 1990, 23p.

50. A. V. Kotikov, The Differential Equations Method: evaluation of complicated Feynman diagrams, arXiv:hep-ph/0102178v1, 7p.

51. M. Argeri and P. Mastrolia, Feynman diagrams and differential equations, arXiv:hep-ph/0707.4037v1, 56p.

52. D. A. Slavnov, The generalized Pauli-Villars regularization, Theor. Math. Phys., **17**, N.3, 342–358 (1973).

53. V. I. Kucheryavy, Dimensional regularization with natural n-dimensional analog of the γ^5-Dirac matrix and its algorithmic applications, Preprint ITP–92–66E, Kiev, 1993, 37p.

54. V. I. Kucheryavy, Dimensional regularization with natural n-dimensional analog of the γ^5-Dirac matrix, Ukr. J. Phys., **38**, N.12, 1862–1871 (1993).

55. V. I. Kucheryavy, Symmetries, their breaking and anomalies in the self-consistent renormalization. Discrete symmetry shadow in chiral anomalies, in: *"Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics". Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine*, **30**, Part 2, 493–500 (2000), edited by A.G. Nikitin and V.M. Boyko, (Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, 2000).

56. V. I. Kucheryavy, Schwinger terms and quantum anomalies in self-consistent renormalization, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.), **102-103**, 377–384 (2001).

57. V. I. Kucheryavy, Symmetries and dynamical symmetry breaking of general n-dimensional self-consistently renormalized spinor diangles, in *"Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics. Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine"*, **43**, Part 2, 629–640 (2002), edited by A.G. Nikitin and V.M. Boyko, (Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, 2002).

58. V. I. Kucheryavy, Renormalization, Ward identities, symmetries, and quantum anomalies: logical aspect, in *"Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine"*, **50**, Part 2, 862–869, (2004), edited by A.G. Nikitin, V.M. Boyko, R. O. Popovych and I. A. Yehorchenko, (Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, 2004).

59. V. A. Fock, Die Eigenzeit in der klassishen und in der quanten Mechanik, Phys. Zs. Sowjetunion, **12**, N.4, S.404–425, (1937).

60. J. Schwinger, On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization, Phys. Rev., **82**, N.5, 664–679, (1951).

61. N.N. Bogoliubov and D.V. Shirkov, Introduction to the theory of quantized fields. Gostechizdat, Moscow, 1957.

62. H. Bateman and A. Erdelyi, *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. I. Hypergeometric function. Legendre functions*, (Nauka, Moscow, 1965, 295p).

63. H. Bateman and A. Erdelyi, *Tables of integral transforms. Vol. I. Fourier, Laplace, and Mellin transformations*, (Nauka, Moscow, 1969, 344p).

64. H. Bateman and A. Erdelyi, *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. II. Bessel functions. Parabolical cylinder functions. Orthogonal polynomials*, (Nauka, Moscow, 1974, 296p).

65. H. Bateman and A. Erdelyi, *Tables of integral transforms. Vol. II. Bessel transformations. Integrals for special functions*, (Nauka, Moscow, 1970, 328p).

66. E. R. Caianiello, On quantum field theory. I. Explicit solution of Dyson's equation in electrodynamics without use of Feynman graphs, Nuovo Cim., **10**, N.9, 1634–1652 (1953).

67. E. R. Caianiello, *Combinatorics and renormalization in quantum field theory*, (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., London, Amsterdam, 1973, 121p).

68. J. S. R. Chisholm, Calculation of S-matrix elements, Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc., **48**, Part 2, 300–315 (1952); Part 3, 518, corrigendum.

69. Y. Nambu, Parametric representations of general Green's functions, Nuovo Cim., **6**, N.5, 1064–1083 (1957).

70. K. Symanzik, Dispersion relations and vertex properties in perturbation theory, Progr. Theor. Phys., **20**, N.5, 690–702 (1958).

71. N. Nakanishi, Parametric integral formulas and analytic properties in perturbation theory, Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl., **18**, 1–81 (1961).

72. Y. Schimamoto, Graph theory and parametric representations of Feynman amplitudes, Nuovo Cim., **25**, N.6, 1292–1313 (1962).

73. J. D. Bjorken and T. T. Wu, Perturbation theory of scattering amplitudes at high energies, Phys. Rev., **130**, N.6, 2566–2572 (1963).

74. A. Peres, On Schwinger's parametrization of Feynman graphs, Nuovo Cim., **38**, N.1, 270–284 (1965).

75. C. S. Lam and J. P. Lebrun, Feynman-parameter representations for momentum- and configuration-space diagrams, Nuovo Cim., **A59**, N.2, 397–421 (1969).

76. B. M. Stepanov, On the α-representation of Feynman integrals, Theor. Math. Phys., **5**, N.3, 356–365 (1970).

77. C. J. Liu and Y. Chow, Some topological properties connected to the parametrized Feynman amplitudes, J. Math. Phys., **11**, N.9, 2789–2799 (1970).

78. P. Cvitanovic and T. Kinoshita, Feynman-Dyson rules in parametric space, Phys. Rev., **D10**, N.12, 3978–3991 (1974).

79. I. T. Todorov, *Analytical Properties of Feynman Diagrams in Quantum Field Theory*, (Bulgarian Acad. Sci., Sofia, 1966, 135p).

80. E. R. Speer, *Generalized Feynman Amplitudes*, (Princeton University Press and University of Tokyo Press, 1969, 119p).

81. N. Nakanishi, *Graph Theory and Feynman Integrals*, (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1971, 223p).

82. O. I. Zavialov, *Renormalized Feynman Diagrams*, (Nauka, Moscow, 1979, 318p).

83. V. A. Smirnov, *Renormalization and Asymptotic Expansions of Feynman Amplitudes*, (Moscow State University Press, Moscow, 1990, 256p).

84. V. I. Kucheryavy, On the parametric functions of nonrenormalized Feynman amplitude, Dopovidi AN Ukr. SSR, Ser. A, N.10, 884–887 (1972); Preprint ITP–71–46R, Kiev, 1971, 23p.

85. V. I. Kucheryavy, On some topological properties of the Feynman graphs, Theor. Math. Phys., **10**, N.2, 182–195 (1972); Preprint ITP–71–39R, Kiev, 1971, 24p.

86. V. I. Kucheryavy, Recursive structure of the parametric functions of Feynman amplitude, Dopovidi AN Ukr. SSR, Ser. A, N.9, 785–789 (1972); Preprint ITP–71–55E, Kiev, 1971, 22p.

87. P. R. Bryant, Graph theory applied to electrical networks, in *"Graph Theory and Theoretical Physics"*, edited by F. Harary, (Academic Press, London and New York, 1967), p.111-137.